**Government-Supported, Village-Based
Management of Marine Resources in Vanuatu**

by
R. E. Johannes¹

¹R.E. Johannes Pty. Ltd., 24 Darling Parade, Mt.
Stuart, Tasmania 7000, Australia

FFA Report 94/02

PACIFIC ISLANDS FORUM FISHERIES AGENCY
P.O.BOX 629 HONIARA SOLOMON ISLANDS
TELEPHONE (677) 21124
FAX (677) 23995
WEB <http://www.ffa.int>

1. Introduction

Effectively monitoring and managing small, multi-species, multi-method nearshore fisheries along conventional western lines has generally failed in developing countries (e.g. Smith, 1991), including those in Oceania. Despite several decades of effort, participants in the 1988 South Pacific Commission (SPC) Workshop on Inshore Fisheries Resources concluded that there were “few, if any, Pacific Island inshore fisheries which are currently managed.”

What was meant by this statement was, in fact, that few Pacific Island inshore fisheries were currently being managed *by government personnel*. But it would be wrong to conclude that they are not being managed at all. If management means regulating who may fish, when and where they may fish, what methods they may use, and/or what they may catch, then fisheries management by villagers themselves has been widespread in Oceania for centuries.

It is now quite clear that far more has been going on in terms of local marine resource management in the region than some national authorities or fisheries administrators have been aware of until recently. Moreover, such activities are increasing in at least some countries in the region including Vanuatu, as described below.

However, it would be a mistake to assume that Pacific Island marine resources are necessarily being managed *well*. Management of marine resources by traditional Pacific Islands villagers does not guarantee their sound use. There are several reasons for this. One is that colonial governments, ignorant of traditional management structures and institutions, introduced various types of ineffective centralised natural resource management policies which persist today and often greatly weaken local authority (e.g. Dashwood, 1991).

Another important reason is that villagers do not have adequate scientific information on which to base management decisions. Toloa *et al.* (1991) identify the issue:

“The people of Tokelau feel that the traditional conservation system has served them well over the centuries. They are also aware, however, of the need for modification of the system to reflect recent changes..... Although the output from (marine biological research in Tokelau) has been utilised to some extent, a mechanism should be established so that the results are more fully incorporated into the Council of Elders’ management plans.”

Villagers may not understand the need for certain types of management. Or, if they do, they may not know how to formulate management plans to address those needs effectively. The introduction of commercial fishing, the rise of trochus, giant clams, bêche-de-mer, green snail, rock lobsters and pearl shell as important exportable resources, and the introduction of new fishing gears and faster boats have all brought new management challenges with which traditional arrangements were not designed to cope.

In common with other Pacific Island fisheries departments today, Vanuatu’s Fisheries Department realises that managing most of its coastal fisheries from Port Vila is impossible (Amos, 1993). The costs of research, monitoring and enforcement in the multitude of small fisheries associated with Vanuatu’s several hundred coastal villages would outweigh the benefits by several orders of magnitude. But the Department is beginning to play a vital indirect role in management by working in the villages to help combine local knowledge with modern research-based knowledge to improve village-based management.

This type of management - where a government fisheries department provides scientific information and advice (as well as certain basic conservation laws), while coastal villages

assume the bulk of the responsibility for local management - is a form of what is sometimes referred to as cooperative management (Pinkerton, 1989).

Cooperative management began modestly in Vanuatu in 1990 when Moses Amos, a trochus specialist with the Fisheries Department, announced over Radio Vanuatu that the Department would provide advice on trochus management to fishing rights owners who requested it. Response was enthusiastic, and Mr. Amos and his team began to carry out trochus surveys on village fishing grounds. They also gave the villagers basic information on trochus life history and advice on such things as why minimum size limits on trochus are desirable, where trochus refuges might best be situated, and if, and for how long, their trochus fishery should be closed in order to rebuild stocks.

Amos (1993) provides a brief description of these activities. A passage from his account (p.16) provides an indication of the deliberate informality of this approach: "Every evening, after each day's diving, the Research Officers arrange for further informal discussions with resource owners and fishermen, about the importance of harvesting only legal size shells. (These discussions are usually held around bowls of kava)."

So far these activities have been carried out by Mr. Amos and his team in a number of villages in each of Erromango, Efate, Emai, Aneityum and in one village in Epi. Coconut crab resource surveys have been carried out and technical advice provided in the Torres/Banks region, where this species is an important source of income, but trochus are not. Additional village visits and surveys by the trochus team are planned for Santos and Malekula.

Mr. Amos ensures that information flows in both directions. For example, learning from villagers about temporal trends in their trochus populations provides information useful in formulating management strategies. Fishermen's information on local nearshore currents can help him decide if and where to suggest setting aside a trochus breeding preserve.

He does not try to force upon villagers rigid management plans based exclusively on biological considerations, but recognises the importance of leaving final decisions to be worked out locally by people who need to balance biological considerations with local social and economic concerns. In choosing the length of a ban on trochus harvesting, for example, villagers may opt for a shorter period than would be ideal biologically, in order to obtain cash for a planned community project or to rebuild after a cyclone.

So far the Department's work has been limited mainly, of necessity, to trochus fisheries. But it appeared to me that it might offer a basic general approach to cooperative management that could have application over a much wider range of species, as well as in other parts of Oceania. In this report I describe the results of three weeks of interviews carried out in Vanuatu in November, 1993 in order to examine the strengths and weaknesses of this approach and its potential for wider application.

A detailed quantitative study of this subject would have taken many times the amount of time and money available. A brief, largely qualitative survey seemed to be a practical alternative. As Mr. Amos says, "the reality is that when resources are degrading you can't wait for science" (Amos, 1993, p. 17).

The questions I set out to try to answer during this study are:

1. How widespread is the demand on the part of villagers for technical advice on management of their marine resources?
2. How well has this advice been received and what have been the practical consequences in terms of improved management?

3. What scope is there for expansion of this approach to other marine resources?
4. What are some of the features of Customary Marine Tenure (CMT) that influence the effectiveness with which marine resource management can be carried out in the community?
5. What lessons can be learned from Vanuatu's experience that might be useful to other countries in the region? - outside the region?
6. Do Vanuatu's laws adequately protect CMT and the rights of villagers to manage their marine resources?
7. What is the nature and extent of local knowledge of marine resources that can be usefully wedded to scientific knowledge in support of marine resources management?

2. The interviews

I carried out interviews in most of the coastal villages on the Islands of Efate and Emai as well as in a number of villages in the area of Southeast Malekula. I was assisted in these interviews by Moses Amos on Malekula and Emai and, in most cases, by William Naviti on Efate. Mr. Naviti also carried out interviews for me in two fishing villages on Aneityum. In order to expand the area covered in the limited time available, I also interviewed several people in Port Vila who were familiar with village marine resource management regulations in their home villages on other islands.

I sought out chiefs, as well as elders who had reputations as being especially knowledgeable about fishing. Chiefs (who were sometimes knowledgeable fishermen as well) were interviewed not only as a sign of respect for their authority, but also because it is they who are usually most centrally involved in making management decisions concerning the villages' marine resources.

I ensured always to include certain basic questions in my interviews. But I made the interviews informal and unstructured rather than adhering to a standardized survey-style format. This was done in order to enable me to pursue unanticipated local issues or local marine knowledge that emerged during the course of the interviews (Johannes, 1993).

In some cases I was asked to explain my interests to the villagers as a group. Since the villages in question were small, I used these opportunities to interview the entire gathering. In other cases as few as one or two people were interviewed.

In Vanuatu, each village, through its chief or its constituent clans or families, have for centuries claimed the exclusive right to harvest marine resources from the adjacent shallow waters. Such ownership is often referred to as CMT. All reef flats are thus owned, and Vanuatu's Constitution upholds these traditional rights (Amos, 1993).

Within the framework of CMT, I concentrated on determining how and when recent local fishing regulations or "taboos" had been designed and implemented, the problems encountered, and the attitudes of village leaders toward the continuing use of this approach to marine resource management.

In addition, I tried to determine how villagers looked upon government assistance, both from the Fisheries Division in designing and implementing local management regulations, and from the courts in enforcing those regulations.

To the extent that time permitted, I sought the basic foci of village fishing rights disputes and the role of government support in helping to resolve them. The information I obtained concerning this contentious subject may not always have been accurate, and was certainly very far from complete. Some leaders may have downplayed disputes because they reflected poorly on their leadership or their community. Disputants and observers sometimes disagreed as to the basic cause of a given dispute. In addition, it was clear in certain villages that discussion of current disputes was emotionally charged and that persistent questioning would run a significant risk of exacerbating community tensions. Nevertheless, some very useful information on this subject emerged from the interviews.

When time permitted I also sought local knowledge concerning marine biological and other environmental phenomena of potential importance in management. This kind of research takes many months to do satisfactorily and involves going on fishing and gleaning trips to confirm and further investigate the phenomena that fishermen or female reef gleaners describe. So I restricted my questions on local knowledge largely to seasonal movements of fish, especially spawning migrations and spawning aggregations - issues of particular importance for management (Johannes, 1981). What is reported here, even on this limited subset of local knowledge, reflects only a very superficial skimming of it. But it does help pinpoint some areas where research efforts to back up local management might be concentrated in future.

3. Results

3.1 Village-based conservation measures

All but one of the twenty-seven villages surveyed currently employed some form of explicitly conservation-based taboos on their fishing grounds. All villages asserted their right to exclude others from their fishing grounds. This last measure, although it is not always employed with conservation in mind, reduces harvesting pressure and thus serves the purpose of conservation in waters where resources are limited relative to demand.

The interviews revealed that village-based marine conservation has experienced a remarkable upsurge in Vanuatu beginning in 1990. In the majority of the twenty-seven villages surveyed, explicitly conservation-based fishing taboos had been applied for the first time in living memory only within the past four years. In several other villages some such taboos had been employed prior to 1990 (e.g. Analgawat, Mangaliliu, Marae, Ebau, Tongamea), sometimes for as long as anyone could remember. In earlier times these taboos usually focussed on trochus and green snails (although at Marae a 1-2 year ban on taking of octopus was used periodically to rebuild stocks). In most of these villages, however, these controls had been diversified since 1990 to cover other marine resources.

The only published descriptions of CMT in parts of Vanuatu (Taurokoto, 1984; Fairbairn, 1992) do not prepare the researcher for the exceptional variety of ways in which marine conservation measures have developed recently within its framework. No two villages surveyed in the present study were identical in this regard (Table 1).

Queries concerning the cause of this sudden, widespread flowering of marine conservation revealed that the educational efforts of the Fisheries Department, along with those of the government Conservation Unit, played a major role.

Education in the villages by the Fisheries Department has focussed largely on trochus conservation. But since these efforts began, less than four years ago, villagers have perceived that what works to conserve trochus can be adapted to conserve other species. They have, accordingly, introduced regulations controlling the harvest of many other species. In short, the Department's efforts have been favoured by a prodigious multiplier effect.

Table 1. Village-based fishing restrictions

1. Species tabooed²

All species	Marae, Lamén, Emua, Ebau, Pelong, Uri, Litzlitz, Uripiv, Tongamea, Tabakoro ³ , Analgawat, Lamap ⁴
Trochus or, trochus and green snail	Lamen, Erakor, Eton, Mangaliliu, Siviri, Pelong, Lutes, Maraé, Tabakoro, Utche, Analgawat
Trochus, green snail and bêche-de-mer	Tongamea
Trochus, green snail and lobster	Aneitcho (Port Patrick)
Octopus	Sangava, Maraé, Pescarus
Bêche-de-mer	Mangaliliu ⁵ , Emua
All shellfish	Marae
Mangrove crabs	Uri
Shore crabs, limpets, parrotfish and rudderfish	Anawanjei
Rock lobsters	Analgawat

2. Methods tabooed

a. During closures that involve species other than molluscs⁶

All methods	Lamen, Maraé, Emua, Ebau, Pelong ¹⁰ , Uri ⁹ , Uripiv, Litzlitz, Tabakoro, Tongamea, Pescarus
Night spearfishing	Pelong, Uri
Gillnetting	Pelong, Tabakoro, Utche, Uri
Dropline fishing	Tabakoro
All methods except bow and arrow or throwing spear	Lamap
All methods except line fishing and day spearfishing	Pelong

b. At all times⁷

Breaking coral or not turning rocks back after looking under them while reef-gleaning	Erakor, Maraé
Gillnetting	Utche
Commercial gillnetting	Uripiv
Spearfishing	Utche
Night spearfishing	Mangaliliu

²Villages are often listed more than once here because they ban the taking of different species or species-groups at different times and places.

³Fishing for special community occasions allowed.

⁴Fishing with hand spears and bow and arrow allowed.

⁵This closure has recently been abandoned. See text.

⁶Villages are often listed more than once here because they ban different methods at different times and places.

⁷In addition village authorities also often emphasise the importance of observing government marine conservation laws (see text).

3. Extent of Areas Affected by Closure

All village fishing grounds	Mangaliliu, Erakor, Lamén, Emua, Paunangisu, Uri, Norsup, Tautu, Tabakoro, Aneitcho (Port Patrick)
Roughly one half of the village's fishing ground	Marae, Ebau, Litzlitz, Sangava ⁸ , Burbar
Smaller portion	Uri ⁹ , Pelong ¹⁰ , Tabakoro, Tongamea
Individual subdivisions of fishing ground owned by different descent groups, i.e. clans or families ¹¹	Lamen, Uripiv, Pescarus, Pelong, Lamap, Lutes, Tautu, Maraé, Analgawat

4. Duration of closures initiated during the past three years¹²

None	Tanolu
One month	Sangava
Three months	Uri
Six months	Uri
Seven months	Uri
One year	Erakor, Mangaliliu, Paunangisu, Ebau, Pelong, Lamap, Norsup, Tautu, Tabakoro, Maraé, Pescarus, Analgawat, Anawanjei
Two years	Lamap, Uripiv, Pelong, Sangava ⁷ , Analgawat (1.5 - 2 years)
Three years	Maraé, Lamap, Tabakoro (2-3 years)
Five years	Uri ⁹ , Pelong ¹⁰ , Siviri, Pescarus (4 - 5 years), Aneitcho (Port Patrick)
“Until it is decided that the area is ready,”	Tongamea
Indefinitely	Mangaliliu ¹³ , Emua ¹⁴

5. Size restrictions¹⁵

Mangrove crabs	Uri
Bêche-de-mer	Uri, Sangava

6. Villages in which reef taboos were traditionally related to:

a. A chief's death or initiation	Maraé, Lamén, Erakor, Mangaliliu, Emua, Ebau, Uripiv, Analgawat
b. Any family member's death	Lamen

⁸Proposed for 1994.

⁹ A special preserve of 100 hectares.

¹⁰ A clam preserve of about 150 by 150 m.

¹¹Some of the other villages with fishing grounds split between several different owners, but these fishing grounds were nevertheless currently being managed collectively as a single unit for conservation purposes (see text).

¹²Openings may be for much shorter periods than closures, especially in the case of trochus and green snail, for which the reef may be opened for as little as one week.

¹³night spearfishing

¹⁴Bêche-de-mer

¹⁵In addition to the government's size restrictions trochus, green snail etc, size restrictions are sometimes also imposed by buyers of bêche-de-mer and other commercial species.

7. Villages where the custom(s) described in item 6 have been abandoned

Marae, Erakor, Mangaliliu, Emua, Ebau, Uripiv, Analgawat

8. Villages in which conservation-based fishing taboos have recently been, or are soon expected to be abandoned.

Erakor, Uripiv, Mele

9. Villages no longer asserting exclusionary rights to their fishing grounds

None of the villages surveyed

Village-based fishery closures can be divided into those that involved the total closure of fishing grounds and those that were species or species-group specific.

3.1.1 Trochus and green snail harvesting closures

The Fisheries Department usually advises villages to implement trochus closures for a period of two to three years. Three years is the time it takes from larval settling to the attainment of legal harvestable size¹⁶. For green snails this period is thought to be similar or only slightly longer (Yamaguchi, 1993). Thus, for the present at least, it seems appropriate to combine trochus and green snail harvest closures - which has already been done in several of the villages surveyed here.

Actual closure periods for trochus ranged from one to five years. Where one year periods were chosen, the decision was based at least in part on villagers' unwillingness to be deprived of income from trochus for longer periods. Four and five year closures seemed to be based on reasoning that if two or three year bans are good, then longer bans should be even better.

Adherence to the government size limits on trochus shell was said to be rigorous in some villages, not rigorous in others.

3.1.2 Closures for other individual species or species groups

a. Bêche-de-mer

Only two villages employed bêche-de-mer harvesting closures. One reason for this is that bêche-de-mer stocks in Vanuatu are naturally rather limited (Chambers, 1992). Thus their harvesting is not a very important source of income in most villages. In addition, several species of differing sizes are involved, and the biological information necessary for designing optimal management strategies, with which the Division could supply villagers, is very limited. Leaders in one village attempted to impose a closed season on bêche-de-mer, but it broke down because of understandable arguments over just what constituted an appropriate closure period.

One village had imposed a total taboo on bêche-de-mer harvesting because of a concern over its possible impact on the reef community as a whole. This was based on an apparent misunderstanding concerning the biology of bêche-de-mer, which I attempted to rectify. Two

¹⁶Vanuatu regulations stipulate a minimum harvestable size of 90mm across the base of the shell; Bour and Granperrin (1985) report that trochus in Vanuatu achieve an average size of 94mm three years after settlement.

villages had size restrictions on bêche-de-mer but I did not have time to investigate the details.

b. Rock lobsters

Rock lobsters are an important commercial resource in some villages. To complement government size limits for rock lobsters and its prohibition on taking berried females, two villages had imposed closed periods on their lobster fisheries.

c. Others

Octopus were often said to be important components of the village catch. Three of the villages surveyed had specific closure periods for octopus (Table 1). Other marine animals for which specific closure periods were reported were limpets, parrotfish, shore crabs and rudderfish (all on a single family's fishing grounds at Anawanjei) and mangrove crabs (at Uri).

3.1.3 Restrictions on Specific Methods

Gillnetting was prohibited in some villages. The explanations given were uniform: “it catches too many fish.”¹⁷ Night spearfishing using underwater torches (flashlights) was banned in some villages because it enables fishermen to deplete stocks of certain fish, especially large parrotfish which sleep in shallow water at night and are then like sitting ducks for spearfishermen.

I am unclear on the reasons for banning dropline fishing at Tabakoro, and daytime (in addition to nighttime) spearfishing at Utche.

3.2 The experimental and rapidly evolving nature of village-based marine conservation

While a basic awareness of the relation between excessive fishing pressure and declining stocks is lacking in villages in some Pacific island areas (e.g. Johannes and MacFarlane, 1991; Gilmour *et al.*, 1993), such awareness was clearly manifest in many of the Vanuatu villages I visited. This awareness appeared to have traditional roots in at least some villages. Some, for example, had employed explicitly conservation-based fishing closures for periods ranging from several decades to as long as anyone could remember. But it was also clear that the recent dramatic upsurge in villagers' interest in marine conservation is due in no small measure to the educational efforts in the villages made by the Fisheries Department.

Many villagers are convinced of the benefits of the recent regulations on fishing, judging not only by their enthusiastic comments, but also by the ways these regulations are evolving. A number of villages decided on the basis of their initial experiences to extend the length of closures. For example, the period of total closure of fishing grounds at Uri, has been successively increased from three months to seven months, then to a year, and in Siviri the trochus closure has been extended from 2 years to 5 years.

In only 3 of the 27 villages for which I obtained information was regulation being abandoned. The basic reason given in each case was lack of community unity. Termination of local management efforts was brought about in one village by complex, long-running, initially

¹⁷Indeed, uncontrolled gillnetting has devastated certain reef fish stocks in a number of other Pacific Islands (e.g. Johannes, 1993) and villagers elsewhere in Oceania have similarly banned the use of gillnets (Johannes, 1982; Hviding, 1992).

land-based disputes among land and reef owners. In none of the three cases was cessation reportedly due to any disillusion concerning the conservation benefits of the regulations.

Two village leaders told of experiences which have caused them to modify the way in which a fishing taboo is formally declared. When fishing taboos were merely announced without fanfare, observance of them was unsatisfactory. Now, in these villages, closures are announced with substantial traditional ceremony. Pigs are killed, a feast is held and church leaders are asked to bless the taboo. By thus impressing villagers with the seriousness of the these taboos, their observance, according to these leaders, is now much improved.

In the old days curses were put on the reef so that anyone breaking the taboo was threatened with supernatural retribution as well as fines. Such curses remain in use in certain villages today and are reportedly taken seriously. One man in a village on Emai collapsed and died while poaching on such a reef and this “ lesson ” has reportedly been taken to heart by the rest of the community.

Another chief said that the fine¹⁸ associated with breaking the taboo on trochus harvesting in his village was specified at the outset, but that village leaders had simply mentioned an unspecified fine for poaching in waters closed to harvesting of all species. The former taboo was well observed, the latter was not. The lesson taken from this, he said, is to firmly announce specific fines at the beginning of any closure period.

The relinquishing of village sub-groups over their sections of village fishing grounds was a trend commented upon favorably by village leaders. Commented on unfavorably was the opposite trend, that is, where subgroups are increasingly assertive concerning their individual rights, and uncooperative with other fishing rights owners in the village. One or other of these opposing trends was reported from each of several villages during this survey.

Villagers were asked how they adapted to the temporary loss of animal protein from their fishing grounds during total closures. There were six main responses:

- greater reliance on land-based sources of animal protein
- fishing with negotiated permission, and often some form of payment, in nearby waters of other villages
- purchasing more local seafood from a nearby market or village
- purchasing more tinned fish or meat
- greater reliance on fish caught outside the reef in untenured waters
- cutting back on consumption

3.2.1 Observance of Fisheries Department regulations

Obtaining information on the degree to which villagers were aware of government marine conservation regulations and took them seriously was not one of the objectives of this study. Nevertheless the subject came up regularly during interviews and it seems useful to record some of what was said. Village informants generally seemed well aware of government conservation regulations concerning turtles, trochus, green snail, rock lobsters, and somewhat less so concerning dugongs¹⁹. In a number of villages we were told that village leaders took these regulations very seriously. Here infringements were sometimes dealt with directly by

¹⁸Fines are typically stipulated in pigs, cattle or cash, or both livestock and cash. (We also heard of fines being levied in terms of labour on community projects or kava). Fines ranged from 5,000 to 13,000 vatu and/or up to 3 pigs depending upon the village and the perceived seriousness of the transgression. (At this writing 80 vatu are roughly equivalent to one Australian dollar). Since a full-size pig may be worth more than 10,000 vatu, and since, according to Fairbairn (1993) the Gross National Product is less than ten times that amount per capita, it can be seen that these fines are stiff.

local authorities. More often individuals observed breaking such regulations were said to be reported to the government.

The taking of turtle eggs seems to have been reduced substantially in recent years because of an awareness of government regulations, plus concern over observed decreases in the numbers of turtles in local waters.

I do not think the answers given concerning these issues were significantly constrained by fear of the consequences. I was accompanied during my interviews by Fisheries Department personnel with whom villagers were familiar. Because of the activities of the Department's Extension Program and its Research Team's trochus-related work in the villages, and because the Department does not normally carry out enforcement activities, it seems widely appreciated and trusted by villagers.

Nevertheless the urge to "look good" may in some cases have resulted in somewhat idealized descriptions of villagers' adherence to government conservation laws. Despite this reservation, I am persuaded that not only are these regulations widely known, but also at least reasonably widely appreciated. Several informants volunteered that it was in their best interests to observe them.

3.3 The extent and nature of local knowledge

Fishermen's knowledge offers a shortcut to some of the basic natural history data needed for a better understanding of marine resources - information that cannot be obtained by any other practical means. As stated above, time permitted only a very superficial survey of the knowledge relating to the seasonal movements and spawning aggregations of fishes.

Two considerations suggested that ni-Vanuatu knowledge concerning these subjects might not be as extensive as it is in certain Pacific Island cultures. First, ni-Vanuatu are often described as being generally more agriculturally oriented, and thus less dependent upon marine resources, than are many Pacific islanders (e.g. Rodman, 1989)²⁰. Secondly, traditional fishing in much of Vanuatu has been concentrated mainly on the reef flats and shallow lagoon areas, and seldom extended to areas beyond the outer reef edge. It is locations just seaward of this area to which many reef fish migrate, and over which they aggregate, in order to spawn (Johannes, 1978). Some islands, such as Ambrym, Futuna and Tongoa have little or no shallow reef flat or lagoon, and fishermen there might know more about deeper water fishes, but time did not permit me to visit these islands.

All islands visited during this study possessed moderate-sized shallow fishing grounds. Here information volunteered by informants was indeed largely limited to phenomena observable in shallow water between the outer reef crest and shore. Information volunteered concerning biological phenomena pertaining to these habitats did indicate, however, that ni-Vanuatu fishermen can be valuable sources of information relevant to the management of their marine resources.

When fishermen were asked about seasonal aspects of finfish behavior, the information they provided concerned mainly **pico** (siganids), **malet** (mullet), and two or more species of small mackerel-like fishes, or **manguru**, at least one of which was almost certainly *Selar* sp. Their

¹⁹The harvesting of the latter is an unusual event in most of these villages. Although dugong are reportedly reasonably common in the waters of many of the villages surveyed, little interest was expressed in actively hunting them, although a number of informants said sick or stranded individuals were sometimes killed and eaten.

²⁰Further research might prove this judgement to be an oversimplification. That is, in some parts of Vanuatu, people may be more dependent upon fishing and less upon agriculture than this statement suggests.

information was consistent with published information describing how a variety of mullet and siganid species, as well as *Selar* sp., aggregate seasonally to spawn in nearshore sites elsewhere in the tropical Pacific (e.g. Johannes, 1981; Thresher, 1978).

Fishermen in various villages in Efate, Emai, and Malekula said that November through January was the main reproductive season for many reef and lagoon fishes, judging by their possession of well-developed gonads and the presence of large numbers of very small reef fishes of various species at this time. (In Pelong, fishing ground closures were said to be timed deliberately, so that the opening occurred after the main fish spawning season was over).

In a number of villages, November-December was said to be the time when two species of siganids, or **pico**, *Siganus guttatus* and *S. canaliculatus*, contain well-developed eggs and occur in unusually large numbers, especially over sand or seagrass beds in areas where freshwater mixes with salt near mangroves. Judging by the milling behavior of the schools at this time and the reduced wariness of the fish reported by a number of fishermen, it was almost certain that they had witnessed spawning aggregations of these two species. None had witnessed actual spawning, however.

Few fishermen recalled the lunar phasing that is characteristic of spawning aggregations of these species in other regions (e.g. Johannes, 1978; Thresher, 1978). An exception were fishermen in several villages on Emai who volunteered independently that mullet aggregated to spawn for several days around the full moon in November and December. In other areas they were said to aggregate around mid-year. Different species of mullet may have been involved in the two sets of observations.

In many of the villages I visited, land crabs (*Cardisoma* spp.) are well-known to migrate down to the beach to deposit their eggs in the water around full moon, as they do in many other tropical coastal areas. Also, as in a number of other Pacific Island countries, Palolo worms swarm at well-known locations on the reef and are collected for food by villagers. The swarms reportedly occur for five days starting “just before the new moon” in November.

Fishermen in several villages said that **manguru**, as well as “sardines”, had well-developed gonads and were exceptionally abundant in shallow water around “mid-year” beginning in April, in contrast to most other species. In other areas they were said to aggregate with full gonads around November. Once again, these differing reports may refer to different species. Some knowledge was evident concerning seasonal mullet migrations (as distinct from the aggregations mentioned above).

Substantial decreases in abundance of mullet, **pico** and **manguru** in such aggregations were said to have occurred on the fishing grounds of a number of villages in recent decades, and one mullet spawning migration was said to no longer occur. These changes were attributed to heavy fishing pressure, especially with dynamite in earlier years²¹ and more recently with gillnets.

Traditionally, as in other parts of Oceania, the reproductive seasons of a number of marine species are associated seasonally with stages in the annual cycles of terrestrial plants. For example, on Aneityum octopus and certain sharks are said to be pregnant/ have eggs when wild cane blooms, i.e. starting in November. Giant clams there are said to be fat, with gonads well developed, when the Banyan tree sheds its leaves in April.

²¹Dynamite fishing is reportedly rare now, at least in part because of increased awareness of its undesirability as a result of government prohibition at Independence in 1980, and public education.

Some fishermen displayed considerable knowledge concerning the location, timing and behavioral aspects of the nesting of sea turtles. But because of the time that would have been required to separate out information on the four or five species involved, I did not pursue this knowledge.²²

Opportunities to elicit women's knowledge concerning the animals they gather on the reef was not always easy to arrange during short visits. One assertion I heard several times, and that I have come across elsewhere in Oceania, is that many shellfish are fattest and possess ripe gonads around the time of the full moon throughout much of the year.

3.4 Customary Marine Tenure (CMT)

There is no single body of custom in relation to marine tenure in Vanuatu; there are over 100 different village language groups with differing resource tenure customs. In many places land and sea tenure rights are inherited through men, but in some it passes down through women. This survey revealed that even within single language groups, marine tenure systems may differ somewhat from village to neighbouring village.

CMT not only survives throughout Vanuatu, but is going through a period where exercising the right to exclude outsiders and regulate one's own groups' activities on the fishing grounds is intensifying.

Ownership of marine resources creates opportunities not only for resource management, but also for dispute. Considerable population movement in Vanuatu over the past century was associated with coastal land alienation for plantations etc. and by churches for their settlements. Since custom laws were never written down, this has resulted in poorly-remembered histories of traditional ownership of land and associated fishing grounds in some areas. And when ni-Vanuatu reclaimed these alienated areas after independence, disputes developed. As Nalo *et al.* (1988, p.84) state: "Sometimes a request for identification of custom owners can stir up old disputes that have been sleeping for many years, or the desire for money can lead to claims which have little foundation in true custom."²³

There are typically six levels of dispute-resolution available a fishing rights owner within a clan. Dispute-resolution between clans or between villages also begins at the appropriate level in the following sequence:

First the dispute is referred to the heads of families within the clan. If this fails, it can be taken to the council of the sub-chiefs of the village, next to the head chief, and then it may be referred to the council of chiefs of the area.

In the event that this still proves unsatisfactory a dispute can be taken to an Island Court (Island Courts Act {Cap. 167}). These are local courts staffed by justices who are local chiefs knowledgeable in custom. Decisions in Island Courts, while judged in accordance with custom, are nevertheless enforceable by law. (Not all of the 11 rural regions have established operational Island Courts as yet).

Appeals from an Island Court decision on customary tenure go to the Supreme Court (cf. Island Courts Act). There, the chief justice sits with assessors knowledgeable concerning the traditions of the area where the dispute has arisen. The Supreme Court's decision on custom

²²The Environment Unit has carried out a preliminary survey of this knowledge in Vanuatu.

²³One such claim, said to be made by a number of villages, is that their traditional fishing rights extended well beyond the outer reef edge and sometimes all the way to the horizon. Such claims are often viewed with scepticism, especially in cases where neighbouring villages make no such claims. Ni-Vanuatu refer with conscious irony to such apparently spurious claims as "new history."

tenure questions is final. Although the Supreme Court has heard hundreds of land disputes, so far very few fishing rights disputes have reached it. (I heard of only two).

According to villagers, however, sea rights disputes have been increasing in the past several years. This was said to be due to the increasing economic value of trochus and the growing significance of the cash economy in village life, and because of disagreements over traditional boundaries and the nature and allocation of rights within those boundaries.

3.4.1 CMT and the law

In Vanuatu, as in many Pacific island countries, land tenure is the most contentious and widespread legal issue (e.g. Weisbrot, 1989). In formally identifying custom owners and determining their traditional rights, the government therefore gives priority to land that is the subject of dealings under law (for example, leases, logging contracts, declarations of public land). The legal framework for dealing with these problems remains inadequate, according to Weisbrot (1989). And since nearshore marine resources have seldom been subject to such dealings, the development of formal government procedures for dealing with CMT has lagged behind those dealing with land tenure.

Chapter 12, Article 71 of the Constitution establishes that “ all land in the Republic belongs to the indigenous custom owners and their descendants.” And “ land ” includes “ land extending to the seaside of any foreshore reef but no further ” under the Land Reform Act (Cap.123).

Thus government laws concerning land in Vanuatu often pertain to tenured marine areas. According to Nalo *et al.* (1988), however, the Land Leases Act stipulates that customary owners cannot lease their reefs²⁴ as they can their land. The confusion this produces is illustrated by a current dispute which involves fishing grounds contiguous to a piece of land owned by a chief but leased to another individual on Emae. The fishing grounds in question are part of those belonging to the chief's village and controlled on the village's behalf by the chief. The latter placed a taboo on fishing on the village fishing grounds. The lessee complained that since the accepted tradition is that coastal land owners also own the fishing rights to contiguous shallow waters, he should have the right to use the fishing grounds associated with his lease as he sees fit and not be subject to the taboo. But the accepted tradition that the lessee speaks of may only be relevant to land *ownership*. As mentioned above, the law says that land *leases* may extend only as far as the high tide mark.

Judgement in the dispute is pending in the Supreme Court. The case highlights the confusion that exists because of a failure of the law to deal clearly with CMT. The decision in this case appears to have considerable potential influence on the operation of future leases in Vanuatu's coastal zone.

In another case on Malekula, the local police chief was reportedly told by a member of the National Government to instruct a village chief to desist in his attempts to impose a taboo on fishing against the wishes of a subordinate chief. Here people are perplexed as to who is really in charge in this situation - the village chief, as indicated in the Constitution, or the government official?

Many custom reef owners we talked to told us that their disputes should and would be settled in due course by customary procedures and that government involvement would accomplish

²⁴Other types of arrangements can be made whereby reef tenure holders can rent their use rights to other interested parties. Disputes concerning such arrangements would be settled under contract law, not the Land Leases Act (G. Tambe, pers. comm.).

little other than further complicating the issues. If serious crimes, such as acts of violence, were committed as a result of such disputes, then the government should act to punish the offending individuals. Even then, however, it should not get involved in the dispute that occasioned the violence, they stressed. That should be handled locally and according to traditional dispute resolution procedures.

This feeling was not universal, however. At Port Patrick on Aneityum, local reef owners, unsatisfied with local dispute-resolution procedures, successfully petitioned the Minister of Fisheries to pass a regulation. (Fisheries [Management and Conservation Measures] Regulation No. 35, of 1993) specifically recognizing their rights to close their fishing grounds for five years, to the harvesting of trochus, green snails and rock lobsters.

4. Discussion

Lindley (1993, p. 18, 25) in a generally very useful report, states, “ there is no great pressure on shallow reef resources anywhere in the country outside of areas with commercial access to Vila and Luganville ” and that, “ It is unlikely that overfishing of the shallow reef will occur in most rural areas for a long time to come.”²⁵It would be interesting to know how he arrived at these conclusions since there are no data for such areas. Moreover, fishermen in most villages I visited said they had imposed fishing closures because their reef resources were seriously depleted. Some said catches were insufficient to supply the needs of the village, let alone products for sale outside the village.

Around some islands there are long stretches of uninhabited coastline, and it may well be that these are underfished. But this is of little practical consequence to village fishermen who lack the mobility (or, often, the traditional right) to exploit these resources and must rely on dwindling local stocks.

The rapid expansion of village-based marine resource management in Vanuatu has occurred at a time when villagers’ already-limited incomes have been further reduced since the mid-1980s by a prolonged drop in the price of copra (once villagers’ most important source of income, but now reduced to about one-quarter of its post WWII value), and cacao. The problem has been aggravated by cyclones that badly damaged many plantations in the past several years. The need for money is thus reportedly acute in many villages. Yet ni-Vanuatu villagers are widely putting aside opportunities to earn quick cash from their marine resources in exchange for the greater long-term benefits of conserving them. Trochus sales are reportedly declining this year compared with 1992, in part, apparently, because of the increased number of villages that have tabooed their harvest (Amos, pers. comm.).

It is too early to evaluate the quantitative significance of these new management strategies. The importance of making such evaluations will be discussed below. In the absence of quantitative data, the enthusiasm of villagers concerning the perceived benefits of their new management strategies is encouraging - not only in terms of the apparent improvement in resource productivity, but also in terms of the likelihood that the recent flurry of such initiatives will not prove to be short-lived.

4.1 Improving government support for village-based management

²⁵Elsewhere in the same article Lindley (1993, p.19) remarks, however, “the trend in exploitation of shells and lobsters is such that in a few years it is unlikely that there will be a resource left to exploit in all but the remotest islands.” His report was written only ten months before the present report, and this statement is an example of how a fisheries administrator who does not keep track of what is going on in the villages may be seriously misled.

At present villagers are exploring controls over a wide variety of marine resources in the absence of technical advice. Trochus management, through periodic closures, is now taking place in many villages without the direct involvement of the Fisheries Department, encouraged by the results they have heard about from villages that have been motivated by the Department's team. For similar reasons, closures are being implemented in connection with other species, species-groups, or entire sections of shallow water fishing grounds.

This is not a bad thing; village fishermen are well-placed and highly-motivated to evaluate the effects of their closures, and quite capable, as our interviews revealed, of modifying management as their knowledge of its effects improve.

Some of the relevant aspects of the life histories of target organisms are unknown to fishermen, however. For example, before Mr. Amos advised them that trochus took three years to grow from settlement to commercial size, villagers had little idea of how fast this species grew and thus had rather hazy notions of how long a closure would be effective as a conservation measure. In addition, some principles of fisheries management cannot easily be learned simply through experience on the fishing grounds. For example, unless it is explained to them, fishermen are unlikely to be aware that decreases in catch per unit effort or the mean size of individuals in the catch are not necessarily signs of overfishing.

Clearly, villagers could benefit from much more advice on a much wider range of subjects than is presently available. How can the government lend further support to efforts that are clearly in the best interests not only of villagers, but also the Vanuatu's economy as a whole?

Expanded Fisheries Department support for village-based marine resource management appears to have great potential. It seems likely that it would be widely welcomed in the villages I visited. Such support can be divided into two categories - research and extension services.

4.1.1 Expanded research

The value of research on the effects of experimental management on marine resources is widely recognized (e.g. Alcala and Russ, 1990; Sainsbury, 1982; Hilborn and Walters, 1992). But opportunities for such research are very limited in most tropical areas (as well as much of the rest of the world) because of the general lack of suitable controls. Vanuatu's village marine resource management systems, however, provide opportunities for research to quantify the benefits of such management that may be unparalleled in number and variety.

Villagers already seem convinced of the benefits of such management. But politicians and aid agencies may only be convinced - and thus persuaded to support expanded activities in this area - if they see hard data. Such data would also be of great interest to marine resource managers and researchers in other tropical coastal regions.

Research on the effects of closures of varying lengths on finfishes, trochus, rock lobsters, mangrove crabs, green snails, giant clams, various species of bêche-de-mer and other species that are important economically in large areas of the tropical Indo-Pacific would also be of great interest and value. Management systems in certain villages even offer researchers useful spatial as well as temporal controls; that is, when one half the village's fishing ground is closed, the other half is open.

Monitoring the impact of closures on some trochus populations deserves highest priority for both economic and historical reasons. Mr. Amos and his group have already gathered systematic quantitative data on trochus size distribution and abundance on several hundred transects on various village fishing grounds prior to their closure. It would be a great pity to miss the opportunity to monitor the effects of these closures.

Nash (1993, p. 482) observes that, because of the short pelagic stage and consequently limited dispersal capacity of trochus larvae, “ the unit of stock is probably of the order of 10 km in linear dimension ” a circumstance, he points out, that makes the species well adapted to experimental management. Since tenured fishing grounds in Vanuatu are often of similar dimension, the opportunity of using them in experimental management research on trochus seems particularly promising.

In addition to performing the field research mentioned above, the Department could profitably devote some time to reviewing literature with the assistance of the Pacific Island Marine Resources Information System (PIMRIS), and to synthesizing published information concerning the life histories and responses to exploitation of various commercial species found in Vanuatu’s nearshore waters. This would help the Department provide improved advice to villagers concerning optimal closure times and the desirability of other forms of management such as net mesh size restrictions.

These management systems appear to offer so many opportunities for valuable research that overseas as well as local researchers should be made aware of them. Indeed, such overseas research would have to be encouraged if full use is to be made of the opportunities afforded by these systems, to help design optimal management strategies for tropical marine resources. Foreign-based research would, of course, have to be designed and carried out with the best interests of Vanuatu in mind, and with the approval of appropriate authorities at both national and village levels. Direct personal contact between village authorities and prospective overseas researchers would be more desirable in this connection than trying to arrange village-based research projects through intermediaries.²⁶

As described above, management in the villages is evolving rapidly. This is another reason that visits to prospective sites would be desirable before final research plans were made by overseas researchers. Another reason is that Vanuatu’s shallow-water marine resources are legally owned and controlled by villagers and any research to be done on these resources depends upon the villagers’ understanding and support. Their active participation, as well as some training, especially in appropriate monitoring methodology would also be highly desirable.

One way of identifying good sites for particular research projects relatively quickly, would be to solicit the interest of villages with appropriate management plans, as the Fisheries Division has done in the past.

4.1.2 Expanded fisheries extension support

The Fisheries Extension Program within the Department has been, and is, accomplishing valuable work. Nevertheless there seems to be general agreement with the Department that the objectives of Extension Program are in need of some revision, especially in view of the phasing out of EEC support in August 1994 and the phasing in of support using US Treaty funds (see also Lindley, 1993).

In Vanuatu, as elsewhere throughout the developing world, fisheries extension work has focussed largely on fisheries development. But where cooperative management is operating in artisanal fisheries, a supportive extension program needs to incorporate a new set of skills and knowledge. Extension workers must obtain information on village marine management strategies and on practical local knowledge concerning marine resources (see Section 4.3). They must also provide the complementary scientific knowledge and education that villagers need in order to manage their resources better.

²⁶See Hviding (1992, p.382-83 and elsewhere) for an excellent discussion of why dealing with village fisheries through intermediaries works badly in another Melanesian fishing culture).

Villagers do not want to be *told* how to manage their fisheries. What they do want is education concerning practical management alternatives - answers to questions such as “ what management measures are there for us to choose from, and where, when and for how long should they be applied?”

Learning how to carry out the appropriate interviews, discussions and other activities with fishermen requires training that is not normally a part of a fisheries biologist’s curriculum, and lies partly in the realm of social science research methodology. Such training should be made available to those supervising extension officers in the Fisheries Department and, through them, to the extension officers themselves.

4.2 Features of Customary Marine Tenure systems that influence their value in supporting fisheries management

Social indices of the potential effectiveness of a CMT system in relation to fisheries conservation include;

- the degree of the owners’ commitment to management,
- the degree of community cohesiveness, and
- the degree of respect for village authority.

Where one or more of these is low, as in villages near Port Vila, and, reportedly on Aneityum, village-based management may be beset with problems.

It appears that villages are likely to be more successful in designing effective marine conservation programs where individual reef-owning descent-groups (clans or families) are willing to subordinate their rights to those of the community as a whole. One example of this is where individual owning groups stagger their closures systematically, and allow other units from the same village to use their fishing area when it is open, in exchange for the reciprocal rights when their area is closed. This means that some fishing area is always accessible to the entire village.

Another approach in some villages is for families and clans to relinquish entirely their special rights over fishing grounds, entrusting them to the community as a whole, and participating in a community-wide management plan in which everyone’s grounds are managed collectively as a single unit.

Geographically, Vanuatu’s shallow waters areas favor the efficient operation of CMT because the reefs and lagoons are typically rather narrow. This makes surveillance relatively easy compared with conditions in some other countries.

4.3 Research on local knowledge

Village fishermen need to know what options are available to them to improve their management systems. For example, regulations in some villages might be more specifically targeted than they are at present, so as to protect vulnerable stocks without completely closing the fishing grounds. This would undoubtedly appeal to villagers for whom total closure means hardship. This, of course is already being done in the case of bans on harvesting of trochus, green snail, lobster etc. that do not prevent fishing for other species. But the principle could be extended, and local knowledge could help provide the basic information required to decide upon appropriate strategies.

One such strategy is the banning of fishing on seasonal migrations or seasonal spawning aggregations. This approach to management was seldom mentioned, however, in Vanuatu

villages. Nevertheless, as discussed in Section 3.3, fishermen were often familiar with the location and seasonal timing of migrations and spawning aggregations of a number of species that are important in their fisheries. These are the times when such fish are usually at their most vulnerable to overfishing because of their dense concentrations and, frequently, their spawning stupor (Johannes, 1981) which makes them unusually easy to catch in large numbers.

Elsewhere in the Pacific, local stocks have been completely eliminated from some areas through over-exploitation of such migrations/spawning aggregations (e.g. Johannes, 1989, 1991, 1993). Fishermen in several Vanuatu villages said they believed that overfishing at such times was responsible for the observed decline or disappearance of mullet, rabbitfish or mackerel in migrations or spawning aggregations in their areas.

4.3.1 Local knowledge of spawning aggregations

Considerably more investigation of these phenomena would have to be carried out before recommendations to villagers could be made on a reasonably firm scientific basis to restrict fishing over such aggregations. However, in the absence of such information, the utility of such closures should not be dismissed. Fish that aggregate to spawn are vulnerable to local extinction. Banning fishing over spawning aggregations on species villagers see as being overfished, protects them when they are at their most vulnerable. Such bans need only apply to specific seasons (or even just key moon phases within these season if they are known), specific locations and appropriate fishing methods. Variations on this approach are discussed in more detail by Johannes (1980). Such bans have been used traditionally or are being used today in a number of Pacific Island countries (e.g. Johannes 1978, 1980, 1991)

4.3.2 Local knowledge of seasonal migrations

Mullet are among the fish that are especially vulnerable to severe depletion by exploitation along their seasonal migration routes. Fishermen in a number of Pacific Islands have told me how mullet runs in their waters were destroyed in this manner. The most effective way of addressing this problem is to ban fishing for mullet during the migration period.

By piecing together information from various villages along the mullets' migration routes the Fisheries Department may be able to determine the key locations and times at which these migrations are at risk, especially from nets, and recommend to villagers that they ban mullet fishing at these locations at the relevant times. But this will not work if some villages catch the mullet that have been allowed to pass by other villages "upstream"; all villages along the migration route would have to cooperate to ensure that the restrictions worked to everyone's benefit. The Fisheries Department could play a coordinating role.

4.3.3 Local knowledge and environmental impact assessment

The value of fishermen's knowledge extends beyond fisheries management. For example, recording the spatial and temporal distribution of habitats and biota is fundamental to environmental impact assessment, and fishermen generally possess far more knowledge of this kind for their own waters than anyone else (Johannes, 1993).

4.4 Justification for expanded support for fisheries work in aid of village-based management.

The Fisheries Department's achievements in providing relevant advice and motivation for village-based fisheries management, is something of which they can be truly proud. Vanuatu villagers deserve great credit for this achievement too, but it is unlikely that they would have carried it out with such energy and speed without the encouragement and advice of the Fisheries Department.

Throughout much of the developing world, however, politicians and foreign aid donors alike have focussed on development so single-mindedly that they have perceived only dimly, or not at all, the economic benefits of increasing domestic production through conservation. And in Vanuatu, according to David and Cillaurren (1992, p. 44), “ in the opinion of the authorities, small-scale unstructured village fishing is incapable of generating a reliable increase in seafood production.”

In the light of Vanuatu’s past emphasis on fisheries development over fisheries management, it is instructive to contrast the accomplishments of the Fisheries Department’s shoestring cooperative management efforts described above, with those of Vanuatu’s lavishly funded Village Fisheries Development Programme (VFDP) which has been focussed on fishing in deeper water.

The VFDP concentrated on developing deep dropline fishing for high-value species. By 1987 it had received aid - from Australia, Canada, France, Japan, New Zealand, West Germany, FAO, the UK and the EEC - totaling in excess of USD15 million (Schaan *et al.*, 1987). Later figures suggest much higher amounts have been spent since the latter report was published (e.g. David and Cillaurren, 1992).

The program failed signally to fulfill its stated goals. The mean total annual catch from this fishery has amounted to a mere 5 to 8 per cent of the catch from small-scale unstructured village fisheries. In addition, the bulk of the fish caught in VFDP-generated fisheries are too expensive for the poorer Melanesian families who need them most. In rural areas, “consumption of fish from village (VFDP-supported) fishing associations remains marginal, and can in no way be seen as a viable substitute for imported tinned fish ” (David and Cillaurren, 1992, p.52).

Moreover, because of the costs of importing the requisite equipment and supplies, VFDP-stimulated fishing for urban markets in Vanuatu is actually increasing the balance of trade deficit in those islands of Vanuatu which had VFDP fishing associations (David and Cillaurren, 1992). The reasons for the failure of the VFDP are discussed by Rodman (1989) and David and Cillaurren (1992).

While tens of millions of dollars of foreign aid money have been lavished on the VFDP and the subsequent Extension Program evolving from it, far less support has been given to those fisheries that are the target of the Fisheries Department’s conservation efforts - what David and Cillaurren (1992) refer to as “ small-scale, unstructured fisheries.” Yet these fisheries are of far greater sustainable value to Vanuatu, both in economic and nutritional terms, than the VFDP fisheries.

David and Cillaurren (1992) calculated that in 1984 “ the added imports of tinned fish that would have been required without the existence of the small-scale unstructured fisheries. . . . would have driven the cost of food imports up by 13.5 to 15.5 per cent.” Using these 1984 figures (the most recent available) it can be calculated that a 25 per cent increase of village fish production for consumption by the local population, as might be achieved by conservation measures, would have saved the country about AUD5 million annually. In February 1994 prices for tinned fish were roughly 45 per cent higher than the 1984 prices given by David and Cillaurren (1992). Thus the savings today provided by a 25 per cent increase over 1984 catch levels would amount to about AUD0.7 million (about USD0.5 million) annually.

The potential direct foreign exchange earnings of village-based management are not trivial either. For example, if village-based conservation of trochus stocks were to result in a sustained 25 per cent increase in trochus production, this would mean additional foreign

exchange earnings of about AUD1 million based on Vanuatu's 1992 trochus export figures of 193mt (Bell and Amos, 1993). Added to this would be the foreign exchange earnings from increased production of green snail and bêche-de-mer.

Compared with the cost in foreign exchange of deep water fishing, costs in these shallow water fisheries are tiny. Most such fishing is done on foot or in unmotorized dugout canoes using implements of local manufacture or of minimal cost. Surveillance and enforcement is being carried out at almost no cost to the government, performed willingly and without pay by the villagers themselves. And their efforts are, of course, much more effective than those of any government fisheries department could be.

After acknowledging the failure of the VFDP Lindley (1993, p. 34) states, "The major problem now facing the department is in which direction future development efforts in the coastal fishery in rural areas must be directed....The only way forward seems to be diversifying the fishery so as to create more surplus...."

A promising alternative does exist, however - greater emphasis on increased production of nearshore marine resources by means of village-based conservation. This may not constitute "development" in the conventional sense, but it achieves the main goal of development, that is, increased production.

This approach to increased production does not require the lavish infusions of foreign aid that characterized the VFDP. This might appear to be a virtue. Inevitably, however, it will be judged as quite the opposite by some politicians and aid donors.

4.5 Fishing rights disputes

That only a few disputes over tenured fishing grounds have reached the courts, while the rest have been settled or are being settled at the grass roots level, attests to one of the enduring values of the traditional system. But Vanuatu's shallow water marine resources will almost certainly be subject to increasing dispute as economic development and the country's population continue to expand and place additional pressure on them. It seems likely, therefore, that the number of nearshore fishery disputes reaching the courts will increase.

In other parts of Oceania the biggest problems in this connection typically arise in and near district centers. Here, rapid population increase and the influx of outsiders and imported ideas have weakened local traditional authority and the ability to achieve the community consensus needed to agree upon and enforce effective management regulations. The present study indicates that the same things are happening in Vanuatu.

The significance of these problems for village fisheries management should be understood but not exaggerated. Fisheries disputes make news in Vanuatu, as elsewhere. Lack of disputes attracts no attention. The areas of reef and lagoon in the vicinity of Vanuatu's two urban centres, Port Vila and Luganville, that are affected by such disputes account for a few percent of Vanuatu's coastal waters.

Nevertheless, if the power of local authority is allowed to continue to dwindle, this problem is liable to spread gradually, eventually reaching even remote areas judging by trends in other Pacific island countries (e.g. Johannes 1991; Hviding 1992). Indeed, respect for village authority is already diminishing in certain more remote villages we visited, according to village leaders, although not generally to the extent it is happening around Port Vila. It seems vital that the country devise means to keep traditional authority strong. Where it weakens, the regulatory capacity of the traditional system also weakens, and no government can expect to replace it effectively.

One element of the problem is that educated young people returning to their villages sometimes show little respect for village elders because of the latter's frequent lack of formal education or sophistication in outside matters. But it is the young people who are often uneducated when it comes to the importance of maintaining local systems of natural resource management. Aneityum, although not close to any urban center, is said to be especially troubled by this problem.

I do not know the answers. But I do know that the situation is worsened if the public is confused as to whether or not national law has superseded traditional authority in this area. And recent government actions, as described above, have tended to generate such uncertainty.

4.6 The coordination of national law and customary marine tenure

One of the virtues of customary tenure is its flexibility. Allowances are made, for example, for circumstances such as adoption or the settlement in the community of people from elsewhere. This flexibility is apparent today in the changes in village marine resource management practices as these resources take on increasing monetary significance.

Many observers believe that when such local customs and laws are precisely defined and fixed legally they tend to freeze tradition, leaving villagers less flexible in their responses to demographic changes, changes in technology, or other developments that require adjustments in local resource use patterns and controls (e.g. Hviding 1992, but see Graham {1993} for a differing view). Some Pacific Island countries have addressed this problem through legislation that guarantees the protection of traditional customs without specifying exactly what those customs are. Legal definition of the latter are arrived at on a case-by-case basis and only as a last resort when the need arises for detailed, locale-specific information during court-mediated disputes. Local leaders have generally tried to prevent this from happening by resolving disputes whenever possible at the local level.

In the time available I was unable to obtain detailed information on the local fishing rights disputes described above in which the government has involved itself. But it seems to me to be superfluous and confusing to enact a government regulation asserting a traditional right which is already guaranteed by the Constitution (as in the Aneityum case mentioned above) or for a member of the national government to try to direct a chief's decision in what appears to be local matter under the latter's jurisdiction according to the Constitution (as in the Malekula case) .

Moreover, these interventions set precedents that appear to offer an implicit invitation to all traditional fishing rights owners to petition the government directly to get similarly involved in their dispute. This may create endless and largely unnecessary headaches for the courts.

Such government actions will tend in the long run not to strengthen traditional authority, as was apparently intended in the Aneityum case, but rather to weaken it by creating confusion among villagers concerning just who is in control in these matters - traditional village leaders or the national government? Such uncertainty inevitably undermines local authority because of the ammunition it gives those who disagree with it. And the less secure the property rights villagers or their leaders perceive they hold over their fishing grounds, the less incentive they have to invest time and energy in managing them.

5. Summary and conclusions

The introduction of traditional controls on fishing, for the purpose of marine resource management, had occurred within the past four years in all but one of twenty-seven coastal Vanuatu villages surveyed. The Fisheries Department set this trend in motion by assisting villages with their trochus management. The efforts of the Department and the benefits of

closures are now widely appreciated by villagers, who have extended such controls to other species.

There is much opportunity for the Fisheries Department to provide expanded assistance to village-based management by means of:

- provision of additional management advice, some of which could be channeled through the Fisheries Extension Program
- research based in the Fisheries Department on the effects of management, especially trochus management
- encouragement of overseas researchers to take advantage of the opportunities that village-based management in Vanuatu is inadvertently providing in the form of a controlled fisheries management experiments.

As in other parts of Oceania, disputes over traditional fishing rights are increasing, especially near major population centers. Local dispute-resolution procedures are coping with many of them and few have so far reached the courts, but the situation is liable to get worse before it gets better. The laws relating to traditional marine tenure are unclear, and certain government actions have left some villages confused as to the continuing rights of traditional authorities to manage shallow water fisheries using customary means.

Vanuatu's example suggests some strategies and conditions that would favor the success of government-supported, village-based management of small scale fisheries in other Pacific islands.²⁷ These include:

1. Publicize in fishing communities the government's willingness to collaborate with villagers on management issues, and invite requests for assistance from interested villages.
2. Start small, not with a comprehensive plan to address many types of fisheries or many villages.
3. Concentrate initially on villages where local marine tenure and local authority are strong and the community is cohesive.
4. Concentrate initially on villages where fishing ground geography facilitates effective village surveillance.
5. Focus initially on a single type or limited number of fisheries - preferably ones that have the following characteristics:
 - a. they are commercially important;
 - b. they are relatively easy for which to obtain useful management information; for example, benthic invertebrate species that are comparatively simple to census and monitor, such as molluscs (e.g. trochus, green snail, clams, pearl shell), or echinoderms (e.g. bêche-de-mer, sea urchins).

As discussed above, trochus (as well as green snails - see Yamaguchi, 1993) seem especially attractive in this connection because of their limited pelagic dispersal

²⁷I do not intend to imply that Vanuatu is the only Pacific Island country where government-supported village-based management is being undertaken. It is also occurring in several other countries in the region. But I know of no other country where such numerous and varied examples of it exist, nor where so much might be learned from it by researchers.

capacities (in striking contrast to rock lobsters) and the consequent likelihood of the existence of more or less discrete local stocks.

- a Studies of effects of experimental management on bêche-de-mer stocks are badly needed in Oceania (e.g. Preston, 1993). Although bêche-de-mer are less important as source of income in Vanuatu than in most other parts of Melanesia (Preston, 1993), Vanuatu also offers excellent opportunities for the study of the effects of fishing ground closures²⁸ on this group for the reasons discussed above.
6. Work toward ensuring that national law supports local authorities in their regulation of fishing by means of village-based prohibitions and enforcement mechanisms, but does not define these procedures too narrowly.
7. Provide formal legal assistance only where local dispute resolution or enforcement has clearly failed.
8. Train fisheries extension personnel in the skills necessary to help the community effectively combine local customs and knowledge with technical knowledge for the purpose of marine resource management, by:
- a. studying local management procedures and relevant local knowledge concerning marine resources;
 - b. obtaining relevant literature and research-based management information, and disseminating it in forms that can be readily understood by the community.
9. Leave the final management decisions and enforcement up to village authorities.

An additional factor favoring effective CMT-based enforcement of village regulations in Vanuatu is the fact that only about 13 per cent of the country's boats are motorized (David and Cillauren, 1992). This makes abuse of regulations more difficult than in some other Pacific Island countries, where poachers in high-powered boats can more easily evade apprehension (e.g. Johannes, 1991).

Finally, given the lofty objectives of fisheries management as portrayed in most textbooks (see Hilborn and Walters [1992] for an antidote), it seems appropriate to conclude by providing those who still have faith in these techno-nostrums with some justification for management measures that have little quantitative foundation, such as many of those being used in Vanuatu villages today.

As noted earlier, the data necessary to provide such a foundation are quite beyond reach in small-scale, multi-species tropical fisheries, except for a few high-value benthic invertebrates whose populations are relatively easy to monitor. The consequent reluctance among fisheries biologists to impose regulations is one of the reasons for the dearth of effective government management initiatives in Oceania, despite decades of fisheries research. Marine resources, meanwhile, have been very seriously depleted in many areas.

In such circumstances a fisheries manager should not aim for some management ideal like optimum or maximum sustained yield, but neither should he or she give up. More realistic, but still invaluable objectives are simply to prevent serious overfishing, to ensure reasonably

²⁸As discussed earlier in this report, bêche-de-mer fishing *per se* was not managed in the villages surveyed, but many villages employ total fishing ground closures which constitute *de facto* bans on bêche-de-mer harvesting.

satisfactory allocation of resources and to minimise conflict. To achieve even two out of three of these objectives can be looked upon as a major accomplishment in any fishery.

In the opinion of fishermen I interviewed in some Vanuatu villages, they seem to be accomplishing all three with the assistance of the Fisheries Department. I ask the sceptics, when was the last time *you* met a fisherman who was just as satisfied with the management of *his* fishery?

6. References

- Alcala, A.C. and G.R. Russ. 1990. A direct test of the effects of protective management on abundance and yield of tropical marine resources. *J. Cons. int. Explor. Mer.* 46: 40-47.
- Amos, M. 1993. Traditionally based marine management systems in Vanuatu. *Traditional Marine Resource Management and Knowledge Information Bulletin*. 2: 14-17.
- Amos, M. 1991. Experiences in trochus resources assessment and field survey in Vanuatu. Paper presented at the Workshop on Trochus Resources Assessment, Development and Management, 13 May to 2 June, 1991, Port Vila, Vanuatu.
- Baines, G. 1981. Environment, resources and development in Vanuatu. Report of UN Development Advisory Team, Suva, Fiji.
- Bell, L.A.J. and M.J. Amos. 1993. Republic of Vanuatu Fisheries Resources Profiles. FFA Report # 93/49. Honiara, South Pacific Forum Fisheries Agency.
- Bour, W. and Grandperrin, R. 1985. Croissance des trocas á Vanuatu. Notes Doc. d'Océanogr., Port Vila, ORSTOM.
- David, G. and Cillaurren, E. 1992. National fisheries development policy for coastal waters, small-scale village fishing, and food self-reliance in Vanuatu. *Man and Culture in Oceania* 8: 35-58.
- Chambers, M.R. 1992. Bêche-de-mer. p. 86-91 *In*: Done, T.J. and Navin, K.F. Vanuatu Marine Resources: Report of a Survey. Townsville, Australian Institute of Marine Science.
- Fairbairn, T.I.J. 1992. Traditional reef and lagoon tenure in Western Samoa and its implications for giant clam mariculture. p. 169-189 *In*: Tisdell, C. (ed.). *Giant Clams in the Sustainable Development of the South Pacific*. Canberra, ACIAR.
- Hilborn, R. and C. J. Walters. 1992. *Quantitative Fisheries Stock Assessment*. New York, Chapman and Hall.
- Graham, T. 1993. Flexibility and the codification of traditional fisheries management systems. *Traditional Marine Resource Management and Knowledge Information Bulletin*. 3: 2-6.
- Hviding, E. 1992. Guardians of Marovo Lagoon. Thesis, University of Bergen.
- Johannes, R. E. 1978a. Traditional marine conservation methods in Oceania and their demise. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 9: 349-64.
- Johannes, R. E. 1978b. Reproductive strategies of coastal marine fishes in the tropics. *Envir. Biol. Fishes* 3: 65-84.
- Johannes, R. E. 1980. Using knowledge of the reproductive behavior of reef and lagoon fishes to improve yields. p. 247-270. *In*: J. Bardach, J. Magnuson, R. May and J. Reinhart (eds). *Fish Behavior and Fisheries Management (Capture and Culture)*. ICLARM, Manila.

Johannes, R. E. 1981. *Words of the Lagoon: Fishing and Marine Lore in the Palau District of Micronesia*. Berkeley, University of California Press.

Johannes, R.E. 1982. Implications of traditional marine resources use for coastal fisheries development in Papua New Guinea, with emphasis of Manus. pp. 239-249 In: L. Morauta, J. Pernetta and W. Heaney (eds). *Traditional Conservation in Papua New Guinea: Implications for Today*. Institute of Applied Social and Economic Research, Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea.

Johannes, R. E. 1989. A spawning aggregation of the grouper, *Plectropomus aureolatus* (Rüppel) in the Solomon Islands. pp. 751-755 In: J.H. Choat, D.J. Barnes, M.A. Borowitzka, J.C. Coll, P.J. Davies, P. Flood, B. G. Hatcher, D. Hopley, P.A. Hutchings, D. Kinsey, G.R. Orme, M. Pichon, P.F. Sale, P.W. Sammarco, C.C. Wallace, C.R. Wilkinson, E. Wolanski and O. Bellwood (eds.). *Proceedings of Sixth International Coral Reef Symposium*. Townsville, Australia.

Johannes, R. E. 1991. Some suggested management initiatives in Palau's nearshore fisheries, and the relevance of traditional management. Palau Marine Resources Division Tech. Rept. 91.14.

Johannes, R. E. 1993. The plight of the Osfish, or why quantitative sophistication is no substitute for asking the right questions. *Naga* 16(1): 4-5.

Johannes, R.E. and W. MacFarlane. 1991. *Traditional Fishing in the Torres Strait Islands*. Hobart, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization.

Lindley, R.H. 1993. End of contract report (of Vanuatu Fisheries Department Principal Fisheries Extension Adviser). MS.

Nalo, C., Hunt, L. and Boote, E. 1988. Land tenure in Vanuatu today. pp. 78-92 In: Chambers, M.R. and Bani, E. (eds.). *Resources Development and Environment*. Port Vila, ESCAP Pacific Operations Centre.

Nash, W. 1993. Trochus. pp. 451-495 In: Wright, A and Hill, L. (eds.). *Nearshore Marine Resources of the South Pacific*. Honiara (Solomon Islands), Suva (Fiji), Forum Fisheries Agency, Institute of Pacific Studies.

Pinkerton, E. 1989. Introduction: attaining better fisheries management through co-management - prospects, problems and propositions. pp. 3-33 In: E. Pinkerton (ed.). *Co-operative Management of Local Fisheries*. Vancouver, UBC Press.

Preston, G.L. 1993. Bêche-de-mer. pp. 371-407 In: Wright, A and Hill, L. (eds.) *Nearshore Marine Resources of the South Pacific*. Honiara (Solomon Islands), Suva (Fiji), Forum Fisheries Agency, Institute of Pacific Studies.

Rodman, M.C. 1987. *Masters of Tradition. Consequences of Customary Land Tenure in Vanuatu*. University of British Columbia Press, Vancouver.

Rodman, M.C. 1989. *Deep Water: Development and Change in Pacific Village Fisheries*. Boulder, Colorado, Westview Press.

Ruttley, H. L. 1987. Revision of fisheries legislation in Solomon Islands. Analysis of replies to a questionnaire on customary fishing rights in the Solomon Islands. Fisheries Law Advisory Program, Western Pacific and South China Sea Region. Rome, FAO.

Sainsbury, K.J. 1982. The ecological basis of tropical fisheries management. pp. 167-194 In: Pauly, D. and Murphy, G.I. (eds.). *Theory and Management of Tropical Fisheries*. ICLARM Conference Proceedings 9, International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management, Manila, Philippines and Division of Fisheries Research, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Cronulla, Australia.

Sims, N.A. 1990. Adapting traditional marine tenure and management practices to the modern fisheries framework in the Cook Islands. pp. 324-358 In: Ruddle, K. and R.E. Johannes (eds.), *The Traditional Management of Coastal Systems in Asia and the Pacific: A Compendium*. Jakarta, UNESCO.

Taurakoto, P. 1984. Customary rights to reefs and landings. pp. 14-16 In: Larmour, P. (ed.), *Land Tenure in Vanuatu*. Suva, USP Institute of Pacific Studies.

Thresher, R. E. 1984. *Reproduction in Reef Fishes*. Neptune City, New Jersey, T.F.H. Publications.

Weisbrot, D. 1989. Custom, pluralism and realism in Vanuatu: legal development and the role of customary law. *Pacific Studies* 13(1): 65-97.

Yamaguchi, M. 1993. Green Snail. pp. 497-511 In: Wright, A and Hill, L. (eds.) *Nearshore Marine Resources of the South Pacific*. Honiara (Solomon Islands), Suva (Fiji), Forum Fisheries Agency, Institute of Pacific Studies.

7. Acknowledgments

Funding for this work was provided by the Australian International Development Assistance Bureau (AIDAB) through the South Pacific Forum Fisheries Agency, and the Pew Scholars Program in Conservation and the Environment.

My thanks to the chiefs, fishermen and women gleaners in the villages, too numerous to mention by name, who were unfailingly friendly and helpful during my interviews.

I am indebted to Moses Amos for his cheerful and efficient organisation of my trip, and his expertise as trochus biologist, village educator, guide and interpreter. William Naviti also served skillfully as interpreter, and, after learning quickly what I was after, took over the interviewing for me on Aneityum in my absence. Others in the Fisheries Department who helped me in many ways include Wycliffe Bakeo, Director of Fisheries; Dorosday Kenneth, Fisheries Economist; and Francis Hickey, Fisheries Extension Adviser.

Others who provided information were:

Nora Devoe, Foundation for the Peoples of the South Pacific
Nancy Stead, Vanuatu Natural Science Society
Mackin Valia, biology teacher, Malopoa College
Edwin Arthur, Director of Lands Department
Jimmy Tapasei, General Manager, Tour Vanuatu
John Tame, Lands Department
Avilu Koli

Unfortunately, it proved impossible during my visit to arrange a meeting with anyone from the government's Conservation Unit.