## FRAME SURVEY in Artisanal tuna fishery data collection

Update on a new type of data collection aimed at improving catch estimates in artisanal tuna fisheries

## Presentation outline

- Artisanal tuna fisheries catch estimates - what is the problem ?
- Potential solution - the FRAME SURVEY

- How might the FRAME SURVEY work?
- How would the FRAME SURVEY improve estimates ?
- Next steps ...



## Hypothetical artisanal tuna fishery

- 5 landing sites covered/monitored by Artisanal data collection using TAILs
- 8 landing sites not covered by data collection ...

How can we estimate the catches for the landing sites not monitored ?
(This is not a problem where ALL landing sites are monitored)

| Landing site | CATCH (MT) from TAILs data collection |  |  | CATCH Estimate (MT) after applying ACTIVITY LOG Data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SKJ | YFT | BET | SKJ | YFT | BET |
| Site \#1 | 12.3 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 20.5 | 6.8 | 0.0 |
| Site \#2 | 8.5 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 6.6 | 0.0 |
| Site \#3 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 14.4 | 0.0 |
| Site \#4 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| Site \#5 | 31 |  | , | 34 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Siton | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | $?$ |
| Site \#7 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |  |
| Site \#8 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| Site \#9 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| Site \#10 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| Site \#11 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| Site \#12 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| -rio\#13 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 2 |

## Hypothetical artisanal tuna fishery

- Estimates can be determined for 5 landing sites, but not the other 8 landing sites?
- (how many landings sites are not monitored in your country ?)

- Extrapolating catch estimates from 5 to 13 landing sites, based on ASSUMPTIONS would result in UNCERTAINTY in estimates...

A Frame Survey is a census-based approach in which data is collected on all fishing vessels and gear (at all homeports/fishing sites), which could be potentially operating within the estimation context or stratum...

Frame Surveys provide the opportunity for recording supplementary information... such as fishing trip patterns and seasonal use of fishing gear...

Frame Surveys need to be undertaken, at a minimum, once per year (...but it will be resource-heavy for the concentrated effort)

Frame Surveys need a 'key informant' for each landing site who will hopefully provide representative, general information on landing site activity


| Fishing Methods |
| :--- |
| Drop-stone fishing |
| Handlining (mid-water) |
| Longlining |
| Pole and line |
| Rod and reel (casting) |
| Rod and reel (jigging) |
| Rod with no reel |
| Trolling |



| Fishing Method : |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target Fishery : |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total number of VESSELS |  |  |  |
|  | JAN - MAR | APR - MAY | JuN-AUG | OCT-DEC |
| Number of distinct vessels by Season |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average Frequency of | Number of VESSELS by frequency of activity |  |  |  |
| activity | JAN - MAR | APR - MAY | JUN-AUG | OCT-DEC |
| No activity this season |  |  |  |  |
| 1 trip per MONTH |  |  |  |  |
| 1 trip per WEEK |  |  |  |  |
| 2?3 trips per WEEK |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq 3$ trips per WEEK |  |  |  |  |

Target Fishery Tuna (at this stage)

SPC has developed a draft Frame Survey Form and instructions, ready to be trialled... an E-Form or App will be developed later ...

Initial trial will concentrate on artisanal tuna landings only...

PREPARATION : Compile a list of ALL landing sites for artisanal tuna landings basis for conducting the Frame Survey (includes sites not monitored)

FRAME SURVEY aims to cover ALL landing sites, including those
currently monitored... (do you know ALL landing sites in your
FRAME SURVEY aims to cover ALL landing sites, including those
currently monitored... (do you know ALL landing sites in your country ?)

FRAME SURVEY conducted once per year (minimum)

Subsequent years surveys capture changes, refinement, differences between Frame Survey and landings data, etc.
[FRAME Survey data integrated with TAILs and IKASAVEA data, where relevant...]

Example of Frame Survey Data from one Landing Site which is not monitored by TAILs data collection

| Fishing Method : | TROLLING |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated average Frequency of <br> activity | Number of VESSELS by frequency of activity |  |  |  |
|  | JAN-MAR | APR - MAY | JUN-AUG | OCT-DEC |
| No activity this season |  |  |  |  |
| 1 trip per MONTH | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ trip per WEEK | 4 | 6 | 2 | 5 |
| 2?3 trips per WEEK | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ trips per WEEK | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |

Example of Frame Survey Data from one Landing Site which is not monitored by TAILs data collection

| Fishing Method : | TROLLING |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated average Frequency of | Number of VESSELS by frequency of activity |  |  |  | Average VESSEL DAYS per QUARTER |  |
|  | JAN-MAR | APR - MAY | Jun-AUG | OCT-DEC |  |  |
| No activity this season |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 trip per MONTH | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | $3$ | 1 trip per month equates to approximately 3 vessel days per QUARTER |
| 1 trip per WEEK | 4 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 1 trip per week equates to approximately 12 vessel days per QUARTER |
| 2-3 trips per WEEK | 2 | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 30 | 2-3 trips per week equates to approximately 30 vessel days per QUARTER |
| $\geq 3$ trips per WEEK | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 50 | > 3 trips per week equates to approximately 50 vessel days per QUARTER |
| Total estimated vessel days by quarter | 132 | 258 | 20 | 163 |  |  |

Pacific

Example of Frame Survey Data from one Landing Site which is not monitored by TAILs data collection

| Fishing Method : | TROLLING |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated average Frequency of | Number of VESSELS by frequency of activity |  |  |  | Average VESSEL DAYS per QUARTER |  |
|  | JAN-MAR | APR - MAY | JUN-AUG | OCT-DEC |  |  |
| No activity this season |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 trip per MONTH | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 trip per month equates to approximately 3 vessel days per QUARTER |
| 1 trip per WEEK | 4 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 1 trip per week equates to approximately 12 vessel days per QUARTER |
| 2-3 trips per WEEK | 2 | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 30 | 2-3trips per week equates to approximately 30 vessel days per QUARTER |
| $\geq 3$ trips per WEEK | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 50 | >3 trips per week equates to approximately 50 vessel days per QUARTER |
| Total estimated vessel days by quarter | 132 | 258 | 20 | 163 |  |  |
| ESTIMATED TUNA CPUE (Kgs / Vessel day) | 10.0 | 12.3 | 4.3 | 15.8 | Determined from Land monitoring sites for this F | s data from IING METHOD |
| ESTIMATED TUNA Catch (kgs) | 1,320 | 3,173 | 86 | 2,57 | 7,155 | Total annual catch estimate (kgs) |

During the trial period, these calculations will be done manually with SPC, but will be automated in the database system, once the trial period has been completed.
Activity Log data
(TAILS)

Artisanal tuna catch
(TAILS Landings data)

Catch Estimation Process

## Frame Survey data

Better representation of $\underline{\text { ALL }}$ landing sites in the estimates

- Provides more information on landing sites that are not monitored
- Less resource intensive than Activity Log data collection (...so replaces this type of data collection)
- Provides a good overview of your artisanal tuna fisheries
- Potential to refine each year...


## ANNUAL TUNA CATCH ESTIMATES

(Artisanal fisheries)

- SPC seeks advice from countries interested in trialling the FRAME SURVEY (with SPC's help)
- SPC will provide advice to interested countries, including...
- Instructions/protocols for this new type of data collection;
- Advice on resource requirements;
- Structuring the 'frame' of their artisanal tuna fisheries;
- How to use these data to generate better catch estimates

- If there is interest, SPC will consider supporting FRAME SURVEY data collection in TAILs or IKASAVEA...
noting that there is no obligation for countries to undertake this type of data collection


IKASAVEA already has a form similar to the Frame Survey concept, which may be adapted and used for the Frame Survey data collection in the future...


Ikasavea Fishing Habits Form

## Thank You

## An update from Tonga (Lavinia) on starting the trial ...

## Any questions?

## QUESTIONs for you ...

Are you interested to trial the FRAME SURVEY ? (with SPC's help)

Do you know ALL landing sites in your country (i.e. including those not monitored) ?

Can you characterise each landing site as having oceanic tuna landings (Y) or not ( $N$ ) ?

Would you like SPC to explain the estimation process further ?


