

SPC/Inshore Fish. Res./BP 73 14 March 1988

• • • •

ORIGINAL : ENGLISH

# SOUTH, PACIFIC, COMMISSION

t. .

#### WORKSHOP, ON, PACIFIC, INSHORE, FISHERY, RESOURCES (Noumea, New Caledonia, 14-25 March 1988)

Assessment of deep-bottom fishes of Solomon Islands

by by

A. Wata Fisheries Officer, Fisheries Division, Ministry of Natural Resources, Honiara Solomon Islands



· • •

SPC/Inshore Fish, Res./BP 73 Page 2

11: 35 P. 20 BAC

#### INTRODUCTION

In keeping with Solomon Islands Govenment's (SIG) policy of decentralisation, increasing attention has been given to promoting fisheries development in rural areas. Whilst this development has created employment and cash-income opportunities in the rural areas, it has also resulted in the increase of fishing effort on reef and lagoon resources. To divert or reduce the effort on these resources, a number of fishing surveys have been executed to assess the potential of under-utilised fisheries resources. One such resource is the deep bottom fishes such as snappers and allied species.

A number of fishing surveys on deep bottom fishes have been carried out over the years, beginning with an experimental bottom fishing by Solomon Taiyo Ltd in 1976 (Honda, 1976). A list of such surveys include:

en ber sala harapad gate, sono e teespeel i

1. Experimental Bottom Fishing	1976	Solomon Taiyo Ltđ
2. Deepwater Reef Fishing	1977-79	U.K. Government
3. Outer Reef Artisanal Fisheries	1978	SPC
Project		
4. North Malaita Deepwater Survey	1980	SIG
5. South Malaita Deepwater Survey	1980	SIG
6. Deepwater Survey	1981	SIG
7. Isabel Island Fishing Survey	1982	SIG

It was known from these surveys that a substantial resource of deep bottom snappers and other species exist in offshore bottom waters inhabiting sea mounts and reef slopes. In 1985, a six-month survey (January to June) on deep bottom fish was carried out. A brief report on this survey by the author (Wata, 1985) gives the objectives of the survey, the fishing activities and preliminary results.

Despite the findings of the several exploratory fishing surveys, the deep bottom fishery is still in the initiation phase of development. A prefeasibility study in Lambi (N.W Guadalcanal) and Russell Islands at the end of 1986 (Wata, 1986) has led to the establishment of a Coastal Bottom Fishery Project under the assistance of the Overseas Fishery Coorporation Foundation (OFCF) of Japan in 1988 to assess the suitability of fishing in the Lambi area.

This paper deals mainly with the data gathered in the 1985 six-month survey.

#### METHODS OF SURVEY

The general objective of the survey was to study the feasibility of deep bottom fishing in Solomon Islands and, among other things, to identify areas with concentrations of bottom fish. For this survey, the Kanagawa Prefectural Federation of Fisheries Cooperative Associations of Japan chartered the "DAIKATSU MARU" (29m, 100GRT).

SPC/Inshore Fish. Res./BP 73 Page 3

The vessel was equipped with a wet paper echosounder and a chromascope fish finder which were used jointly in determining the suitable depths, bottom profiles and the fish density at the fishing grounds. Stehouwer (1981) provides a good description of the operation of the fish finder in a similar survey in Australia.

#### Fishing Methods

Two fishing methods employed during the survey were vertical longlining (droplining) and bottom longlining, with the former being the most commonly used. Seven electric reels were installed on the starboard gunwale but up to eight (and some times nine) fishermen could fish at any one time. An average of seven hooks per line or reel were used for droplining.

Bottom longlining was carried out in only few of the fishing grounds. An average of thirteen hooks per branch line and about 80 branch lines, spaced at about 20m intervals, were attached to the main line. The sea bed has to be relatively flat as an irregular bottom may result in fouling of the gear.

#### Taxonomy

The fish species caught were identified using such sources as Masuda et al (1975), FAO (1974) and Munro (1967). In cases where the scientific names differ, the tendency is to follow the South Pacific Commission publications (eg. Crossland, 1980). The snappers are all classified in the family Lutjanidae and not as in the separation by Brouard and Grandperrin (1985).

#### Data Collection

The data collected at the fishing grounds included the position, depth, gear type, fishing time and the total number and weight for each fish species as well as the total catch. These data are used in the catch and effort analysis.

For biological purposes, length (fork length) and weight data were recorded for most fish species that came on board. This data is used in length frequency distributions and length-weight relationships for the dominant species. Attempts were also made to collect otoliths, and to determine sexes and gonadal conditions but as the fish were sent to Japan for marketing studies, it was felt that as little damage as possible should be incurred on the fish. However, otoliths were collected for some of the abundant species (eg. Etelis coruscans, E.carbunculus).

#### Fishing Effort and Catch Rate

The unit of effort for droplining is expressed as reel-hour and the catch rate (catch per unit effort, cpue) as kilogram/reel-hour. To compare the catch rates between droplining and bottom longlining, the unit of effort is expressed as hook-hour (hk hr).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Catch and Catch Rates

A total of nine fishing trips were undertaken during the survey period with trip lengths ranging from seven to nineteen days (average of 11 days/trip). Thirteen different fishing grounds (most of them being offshore seamounts) were fished but only six of them were visited more than once and showed good catches. These include Nura Island (Guadalcanal Province), South Russells (Central Province), Brougham Shoal (Western Province), North Malaita (Malaita Province), Three Sisters Islands (Makira Province) and Rua Dika Rock (between South Isabel and Florida Group). Data from areas of close proximity to each (eg. Ndai Island and seamounts and to tip of Malaita as North Malaita) have been grouped together.

The total catch was 49.5t for an average of 5.5t/trip. Table 1 lists the catch and catch rates at each fishing ground for both fishing methods. The catch rates for droplining varied from 3.0 kg/reel hr (N.W Vella la Vella) to 14.6 kg/reel hr (Rua Dika Rock) with an average of 10.2 kg/reel hr. For comparison, the catch rates for some of the work done by SPC Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project in member countries/territories are shown in Table 2 and Tables 3 and 4 list the summary of catch and catch rates in other surveys in Solomon Islands. The catch rate for a prefeasibility survey in 1986 (November-December) for the OFCF project are 2.72 kg/reel hr and 5.4 kg/reel hr for Lambi and Russell Islands respectively. When the catch rates in Solomon Islands are compared to those in other Pacific Island countries that have established a deep bottom fishery, they are similar or better at similar stages of development (exploratory fishing).

Using hook-hour as the unit effort to compare the two fishing methods, the catch rates vary from 0.4 to 2.0 (average 1.4) kg/hook hr 0.002 to 0.2 (average 0.04) kg/hook hr for droplining and bottom longlining respectively. It shows that droplining is a better fishing method than bottom longlining. It was also observed during the fishing operations that more than 90% of the bottom longline branch lines were discarded (except swivels and hooks) after hauling due to entanglements. Therefore, it can be said that it is an inefficient method in terms of catch rates and wastage of fishing gear.

Not all areas were fished to the same degree of effort. Most of the droplining effort was concentrated in Southern Russells (seamounts, not same area as in 1986 survey), North Malaita, Rua Dika, Brougham Shoal(seamount in the vicinity of Kavachi submarine volcano), Three Sisters Islands and Nura Island. For bottom longlining, significant catches were made at Nura, Brougham Shoal, North Malaita and Rua Dika. 79% and 41% of the catches at Nura (total catch, 6.4t) and Brougham Shoal (total catch, 8.3t) respectively were taken by bottom longlining.

Fig. 1 a and b shows the catch composition by area in terms of

numbers and weights. The same areas with high effort show high catches.

#### Species Composition and Relative Abundance

Six families of deep bottom fish are represented in the catch: Lutjanidae (snappers), Serranidae (groupers), Lethrinidae (emperors), Pentapodidae (breams), Carangidae (jacks, trevally) and Scombridae (tunas). Sharks were not recorded. The fish species caught and identified are listed in Table 5. More than sixty species were recorded. A large number of the species are snappers (27 species) followed by the serranids (16 species). <u>Erythrocles schlegeli</u> was caught only in N. Malaita.

The dominance of the snappers is indicated in Fig. 2 and Table 6 (bottom of table) which show that the family accounted for 82% and 81% of the catch by numbers and weight respectively. It is found in the tropical Pacific that lutjanids are dominant in catches of deep bottom fish (Crossland, 1980). Table 6 lists the fish species in decreasing numerical order in terms of total catch. The overall catch was dominated by Pristipomoides flavipinnis (19.2%), P.filamentosus (17.7%), Aphareus rutilans (11.3%), Paracaesio kusakarii (8.9%), Etelis coruscans (8.8%) and E.radiosus (6.9%). These six species of snappers alone make up about 73% and 72% of the numbers and weights of the total catch respectively. Gnathodentex mossambicus is the only other species that was caught in large numbers.

Table 6 also shows the total number and weight of each fish species by fishing area. However, the numerical order and, hence, the species composition varies with certain species being dominant in particular areas. Nevertheless, the same species appear in the catches as being common at most fishing grounds.

The family Pentapodidae is second in position to lutjanids in numerical abundance but is dominated by <u>G.mossambicus</u>. The carangids is composed mainly of <u>Caranx lugubris</u> and Seriola rivoliana. Whilst the serranids are dominated by <u>Epinephelus</u> <u>morrhua</u> and <u>E.chlorostigma</u>, the capture of the giant grouper, <u>E.septemfasciatus</u> (52 fish) accounts for 62% (1.2t) of the total weight (1.95t) for the family. The catch for the scombrids is represented mainly by Gymnosarda unicolor.

Fig. 3 shows the depth range of most of the identified species. Table 7 lists the species distribution by numbers and Fig. 4 is the plot of the same data for 14 species which shows the depth ranges of maximum concentration. Brouard and Granperrin (1985) classified deep bottom fish caught in Vanuatu into shallow (less than 120m), intermediate (120-240m) and deep species (greater than 240m) but the distinction is not quite clear for the results of our survey. However, the same authors suggested that vertical distribution may vary with location and season. SPC/Inshore Fish. Res./BP 73 Page 6

#### Length Studies

. . . .

Fig. 5 shows the length frequency distribution for 9 species -Aphareus rutilans, Etelis coruscans, E.radiosus, E.carbunculus, Paracaesio kusakarii, Pristipomoides filamentosus, P.flavipinnis, <u>Seriola rivoliana</u> and <u>Gnathodentex</u> mossambicus. There appears to be two peaks in the lenth frequency distribution of P.flavipinnis but it became obvious late in the survey that P.multidens was included in the data for the species so the second peak may be for the latter species.

· •.

At the time of the survey (and even now), the deep bottom fish in the areas fished were hardly exploited so that large fish specimens were commonly seen in the catches. It was also observed in some of the subsequent trips to the same fishing grounds that the catches dropped and the size of the fish caught were smaller than previous trips. This observation supports the finding that deep bottom fish are vulnerable to exploitation (Brouard and Grandperrin, 1985).

The length-weight relationship is in the form: 

### $W = aL^{b}$

where W = weight (kg), L = length (cm), and a and b are growth coefficients. The values of a and b for 8 species are listed a sa mangaté di below.

Species	Nora, 65 <b>8</b> - 19	$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{p}) \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{p}) + \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}^{T}$
	-5	
Aphareus rutijans	$4.11 \times 10 - 5$	∠
Etelis carbunculus	2.61x10_5	2.92
E.coruscans	$4.40 \times 10^{-5}$	2.75
E.radiosus	$3.96 \times 10^{-5}_{-5}$	2.77
Gnathodentex mossambicus	$4.28 \times 10^{-3}$	2.86
Paracaesio kusakarii	$1.14 \times 10^{-4}$	<b>2.54</b>
P.stonei	$2.12 \times 10^{-4}$	2.39
Pristipomoides filamentosus	3.61x10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.81
	The start starts to	

The establishment of length-weight relationships is to allow the estimation of of fish weights on the basis of fish lengths as the latter are relatively easier to measure on a moving boat.

#### Age determination

Due to the absence of facilities and expertise locally to read daily growth rings on the otoliths collected, it is not possible to determine the age of the fish species for which samples were extracted. Attempts were made for the otoliths to be read by outside institutions but was not successful. Attempts at using ELEFAN to estimate growth parameters from length frequency data were also not successful.

Using growth curves established for Vanuatu deep bottom fish (Brouard and Grandperrin, 1985), it can be extrapolated from our length frequency distributions that old Etelis coruscans (5-12

.years) and E.carbunculus (8-14 years) were common in the catches. 

#### CONCLUSIONS

÷.,

The general aim of the survey was to study the feasibility of deep bottom fishing in Solomon Islands and to identify areas of concentrations of deep bottom fish. The main constraint to the identification of fishing grounds was the reluctancy of Provincial Governments to let the survey vessel to carry out trial fishing in waters under their jurisdiction (within 3 miles from low water mark). Most of the grounds fished were offshore seamounts which are inaccessible to small-scale fishermen. However, the survey was able to collect data that showed areas of concentrations of deep bottom fish, the catch rates and species depth distribution which should be useful to fisheermen.

Analysis of the catch data shows variable catch rates according to fishing grounds and from trip to trip. However, the overall results for each area fished showed good catch rates that compare well with those of other island countries (Table 2) and other surveys in Solomon Islands (Tables 3 and 4).

The species composition and relative abundance shows the dominance of the family Lutjanidae which make up the large bulk of the species, and total numbers and weights. The depth distribution by numbers shows the depths of most concentrations for most of the species. As all of the fishing was done during the day, no analysis could done on fluctations of C.P.U.E and distribution with regards to depth and time of day or night.

It is known that deep bottom fish are slow growing (eg. Brouard and Grandperrin, 1985) and vulnerable to exploitation. Thus, catch rates at this stage should not be expected to be sustained. Deep bottom fish on remote seamounts can be thought of as isolated or discrete and as such could be sequentially exploited by fishermen by fishing the nearby ones and moving on when catch rates drop. While the total catch may not show downward trends, the total resource may be depleted sequentially. Therefore, it is important to collect data for individual seamounts or outer reef slopes along the coast line.

No attempt has been made to estimate the production for Solomon Islands or particular fishing grounds using either the data collected or comparison with areas of similar environmental characteristics as has been done for Vanuatu (Brouard and Grandperrin, 1985). A de la factoria de la conservación de la conserva

SPC/Inshore Fish. Res./BP 73 Page 8

#### References and the art start to the second

Brouard, F. and R. Grandperrin. 1985. Deep-bottom Fishes of the Outer Reef Slope in Vanuatu. Working Paper 12, 17th Regional Technical Meeting on Fisheries (5-9 August 1985) South Pacific Commission, Noumea, 127pp.

Crossland, J. 1980. Fishes of the Outer Reef Slopes. South Pacific Commission Fisheries Newsletter 21:26-35, SPC, Noumea

Eginton, R. and R.H. James. 1979. Report on the South Pacific Commission Outer Reef Artisanal Fisheries Project in Solomon Islands (5 April 1977 - 31 January 1978, SPC, Noumea, 19pp.

Stell.

- FAO Identification Sheets for Fishery Purposes. Eastern Indian Ocean (Fishing Area 57) and Western Central Pacific (Fishing Area 71). Vol: I-IV, 1974.
- Fusimalohi, T. 1978. Report on the South Pacific Commission Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project in Niue (3 July-31 August 1978), SPC, Noumea, 7pp.
- Fusimalohi, T. 1979. Report on the South Pacific Commission Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project in Tanna, New Hebrides, (11 September - 8 December 1978 and 12 February - 16 March 1979), SPC, Noumea, 11pp.
- Fusimalohi, T. and J. Crossland. 1980. Report on the South Pacific Commission Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project in West New Britain, Papua New Guinea, (5 September - 14 December 1979), SPC, Noumea, 14pp.
- Fusimalohi, T. and R. Grandperrin. 1980. Report on the South Pacific Commission Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project in New Caledonia, (9 April - 3 September 1979), SPC, Noumea, 14pp.
- Fusimalohi, T. and G. Preston. 1983. Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project. Report on 2nd visit to Vanuatu, (12 August 1980 - 14 June 1981), SPC, Noumea, 41pp.
- Masuda, H., Araga, C. and T. Yoshino. 1975. Coastal Fishes of Southern Japan. Tokai University Press, Tokyo.
- Mead, P. 1978. Report on the South Pacific Commission Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project in American Samoa (28 March - 2 July 1978), SPC, Noumea, 27pp.
- Mead, P. 1979. Report on the South Pacific Commission Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project in the Kingdom of Tonga (3 June - 20 September 1978), SPC, Noumea, 12pp.

- . Mead, P. 1980a. Report on the second visit of the South Pacific Commission Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project in the Kingdom of Tonga (15 June - 20 September 1979), SPC, Noumea, 18pp.
  - Mead, P. 1980b. Report on the South Pacific Commission Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project to Niue (24 August - 26 October 1979), SPC, Noumea, 27pp.
  - Mead, P. 1980c. Report on the South Pacific Commission Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project to Fiji (8 November - 13 December 1979 and 13 March - 1 September 1980), SPC, Noumea, 21pp.
  - Mead, P. and J. Crossland. 1979. Report on the South Pacific Commission Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project in Kosrae, Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (17 April - 27 May 1979), SPC, Noumea, 12pp.
  - Munro, I.S.R. 1967. Fishes of New Guinea. Department of Agriculture, Stock and Fisheries, Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea.
  - Mead, P. and J. Crossland. 1980. Report on the South Pacific Commission Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project in Yap District, Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (25 September 1978 - 29 March 1979), SPC, Noumea, 29pp.
  - Stehouwer, P.J. 1981. Report on a Dropline Fishing Operation. Observations of the "TAKURYO MARU No. 11" during a feasibility fishing operations in the Australian Fishing Zone. Fishery Report No. 6, Department of Primary Industry, 28pp.
  - Taumaia, P. and J. Crossland. 1980a. Report on the South Pacific Commission Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project in Koror, Palau, Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (1 November 1979 - 31 January 1980), SPC, Noumea, 18pp.
  - Taumaia, P. and J. Crossland. 1980b. Report on the South Pacific Commission Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project in Truk (1 February - 31 March 1980), SPC, Noumea, 15pp.
  - Taumaia, P. and M. Gentle. 1983. Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project: report of visit to Kiribati (23 April - 18 November 1980), SPC, Noumea, 27pp.
  - Wata, A. 1985. Deep Bottom Fishing Survey in Solomon Islands, January - June 1985. Working Paper 26, 17th Regional Technical Meeting on Fisheries (5-9 August 1985), SPC, Noumea, 8pp.
  - Wata, A. 1986. Pre-feasibility survey for deep bottom fishing in Lambi (West Guadalcanal) and Russell Islands. Unpublished internal report.

	DROPLINE	BOTTOM LONGLINE	TOTAL
FISHING AREA LOCATION	FISHING CATCH FISHING EFFORT CATCH RATE HOURS (KG) HOOK REEL KG/ KG/ HOURS HOURS HK HR REEL HR	FISHING CATCH EFFORT CATCH HOURS (KG) HK HRS RATE KG/HK HR	AREA CATCH (KG)
HAMMONDSPORT BANK	7.8 564.1 472.3 67.6 1.2 8.3	0 0 0 0	564.1
SE.MARAU SOUND	0 0 0 0 0	3.2 7.7 3438.7 .002	7.7
NURA ISLAND	31.1 1354.4 1746.5 257.3 .8 5.3	65.8 5010.3 113316 .04	6364.7
N.MALAITA	99.2 7960.6 5700 802.5 1.4 9.9	19.1 1196.5 28016 .04	9157.1
W.FAUABU BAY	.5 7.8 21.7 3.1 .4 2.5	0 0 0 0	7.8
S.RUSSELLS	104.8 8805.5 6294.8 885.2 1.4 9.9	9.5 277.3 19998 .01	9082.8
BROUGHAM SHOAL	60.3 4898.6 4425.4 494.5 1.1 9.9	47.7 3398.4 75850 .04	8297
NW.VELLA	5 123 287.6 41.2 .4 3	0 0 0 0	123
EDWARDS BANK	10.9 1051.7 644.4 92.1 1.6 11.4	0 0 0 0	1051.7
THREE SISTERS	55.4 4439.5 3332.8 447.2 1.3 9.9	0 0 0 0	4439.5
STAR HARBOUR	13.7 1002.6 747.1 107.3 1.3 9.3	2.8 158.9 2541.5 .06	1161.5
SANTA CRUZ	8.6 566.2 490.6 68.8 1.2 8.2	0 0 0 0	566.2
RUA DIKA •	62.2 7806.1 3968.9 534 2 14.6	3.6 866.6 5353.5 .2	8672.7
TOTAL/AVERAGE	459.5 38580 28132 3800.8 1.4 10.2	151.7 10916 248513 .04	49496

1

.

TABLE 1 DROPLINE AND BOTTOM LONGLINE SUMMARY OF EFFORT, CATCH AND CATCH RATES

i

-

.

.

..

28 3 17 20 1

.

~

۰.

1

٠.

. .

m	t.	721	r F	2
-	-		سناسا	<b>6</b>

SPC Deep Sea Fisheries Development Project Catch Rates

- 61 - D.

ountry	Catch Rates Formeel hr
American Samoa	4,4
Niue (1978)	2 <b>.8</b>
Niue (1979)	7.0
Tonga (1978)	3.6
Tonga (1979)	5.7
Solomon Is (Gizo)	5.7
Tanna (Vaquatu) 🚊	3.1
Vanuatu ( 1960-1961)	<b>6.2</b>
Kosrae	9.6
New Caledonia	7.6
Yap	6.9
New Britein (PNG)	4.9
Truk	4.1
Palau	3.3
Fiji	9,3
Kiribati	7.2

Author(s) \_\_\_\_\_ Meed (1978) , · · · Fusimalohi (1978) (1, 2, 2, 2, 3)Mead (1920b) Mead (1575) Mead (1980a) Eginton & James (1972) Fusimalohi (1979) Fusimalohi & Preston (1983) Mead & Crossland (1975) Fusimalóhi & Grandperrin (1979) Mead & Crossland (1982) Fusimaloh: & Crosslard (1960) Taumaia & Crossland (1990) Teumaia & Crossland (1980) Mead (1980c) Teumeia & Gentle (1983)

.

•

• •	n si the state	All the second second second second
ountry	Catch Rates Fg/reel hr	Author(s)
American Samoa	4.4	 Mead (1978)
Niue (1978)	(2 <b>238</b> maren	Fusimalohi (1978)
Niue (1979)	7.0	Mead (19805)
Tonga (1978)	3.6 Jackson	Mead (1575)
Tonga (1979)	1:5.7 Jack (	Mead (1980a)
Solomon Is (Gizo)	5.7 D C	Ecinton & James (1979)
Tanna (Vanuatu)	5. Call 3.1 11 14	Fusimalohi (1975)
Vanuatu ( 1980-1981)	<b>6.2</b>	Fusimalohi & Preston (1983)
Kosrae	9.6	Mead & Crossland (1973)
New Caledonia	7.6	Fusimalohi & Grandperrin (1979)
Yap	5.9	Mead & Crossland (1982)
New Britain (PNG)	4.9	Fusimalohi & Crossland (1980)
Truk	4.1	Taumaia & Crossland (1980)
Palau	3.3	Taumaia & Crossland (1980)
Fiji	9.3	Mead (1980c)
Kiribati	<b>7.2</b>	Teumeia & Gentle (1982)

۱

#### TABLE 3 SUMMARY OF GEAR-SPECIFIC CATCH RATES DATA - DROPLINING

REF.LNO	LOCATION PHOV/FISHING GROUND	TYPE OF FISHING	DATE	GEAR SPECIFICATION	DEPTH RANGE FISHED (M)	effort Man-HRS /REEL-HRS	CATCH (KG)	CATCH C. COMPOSITION (K RE	P.U.E G/MAN CR EL-HR)
1	HINGI COVE WESTERN PROV.	ARTISAN	3/76-6/76	NOT AVAILABLE (NA)	NA	1049	3283	MIXED REEF FISH	3.17
1 1	91			*	<b>.</b>	38 1560	105 988	H	2.8 .6
2	GHIZO(Various) WESTEKN PKOV.	SUHV/ COMM.	·78-, •79	ELECTHIC NEELS (2); 3 HOOKS/REEL OR LINE	e na	NA	12005	DEEP WATER SNAPPERS JACKS	1.7
3	MANDY/RAMOS BK	SURV	3/80-4/80	HAND OPERATED REELS(2) WITH 200-400LB B.S	7-36	70	271	MIXED BOTTOM FISH	3.9
3	MANDY/RAMOS BK					144	761		5.3
3	SUAVA BAY, N.MALAITA	SURV	3/80-1/80		100-400	<b>96</b>	146	DEEP WATER SNAPPERS	1 5
		SURV	¥		100-400	<b>0 80</b>	77		1
3	MBITA'AMA, N.MALAITA	SURV	3/80-4/80		1	. <b>48</b>	39		.8
	<sup>R</sup>	SURV	•			96	104		1.1
3	BASAKANA IS., N.MALAITA	SURV		***	#	72	121		1.7
3	WAISISI, S.MALAITA	SURV	4/80-5/80		#	5	16		3.2
3	ROHINARI, S. MALAITA	SURV	R		W	48	83		1.7
3		SURV	*	***************	<b>H</b>	120	240	<sup>#</sup>	2
3	ROKERA, S.MALAITA	SURV	N		Ħ	24	32		1.3
4	S.MALAITA (VARIOUS)	SURV	3/80-7/80	HAND OPERATED REELS(4) WITH 90-120KG B.S NYLON LINES, 3 MUSTAD TUNA CIRCLE HOOKS (NO 6) DED LINE	75-25	0 301	902		3
.4	E.MALAITA	SURV				204	470	<sup>#</sup>	2.3
4	FAUABU-FIU,	SURV				625	874		1.4
4	KIU-KUA'A,	SURV	tt.			346	855	<sup>H</sup>	2.5
4	LANGA LANGA, MALAITA	SURV	. •			296	1 <b>9</b> 6	H	.7
5	ISABEL MAHINGE IS.,	SURV	6/82	HAND OPERATED REELS(4) WITH 250m, 80Kg B.S NYLON LINE, 3 HOOKS, MUSTAD TUNA CIRCLE NOS. 3-7	14   130–14	0 12	22		1.9
5	MANDY/RAMOS BI	K SURV	* <b>*</b>	*	38	32	246	**************************************	7 <b>.7</b>
5	TATABA,		x'		78-18	0 32	12	*** **** *******	.4
5 5	BAOLO REEF,	SURV Surv	87 14	"(2 REELS ONLY)	100-15 40	0 B	33 39		4.1 6.5
5	HETA-HETA IS.	, SURV		" (4 REELS ONLY)	60-10	0 18	54		3
6	S.W. RUSSELLS CENTRAL PROV.	SUKV	1/84		160-40	0 36	44		1.2
6	NW. GUADALCANA	L SURV		*******	189-26	0 8	3		.4
6	NW.FLORIDAS, CENTRAL PROV.	SURV	•		150-23	10 20	36		1.8

.

ABBREVIATIONS: ARTISAN- ARTISANAL FISHING SURV- SURVEY FISHING COMM- SMALL-SCALE COMMERCIAL FISHING NA- NOT AVAILABLE

REFERENCES: 1. GAULD, J., FISHERIES DIV., WESTERN PROV.

2. GRANDPERKIN, R., SPC, 1978

3. SUSURUA, R.J., FISHERIES DIV., MALAITA PHOV. 1980

4. SUSURUA, R.J., FISHERIES DIV., MALAITA PROV. 1981

5. NICHOLS, P., AND GEEN, G., FISHERIES DIV., HQ, 1982

6. SUSURUA, R.J., FISHERIES DIV., HQ, 1984

#### SPC/Inshore Fish. Res./BP 73 Page 14

	DATE	AREA(S)	DEPTH	NO. RE	ELS	NO. HOOK	TIME	CATCH	KG/REEL H
		an a	RANGE(m)				(HOUR)	(KG)	
- ``	1-6 Oct	N.MALAITA	100-350		8	5-6	?	535	?
	8-16 Oct	TOTAL	100-350		8	5-6	?	1535	?
		RUA SURA	t. ·	. · · ·				100	
		UGI IS	- <b>*</b> 1					700	
	1	3 SISTERS						15	
	an th	S.MALAITA	1					720	
	12 21 0.		100 7CO	1. r	~			405	
		IN. MALALIA	100-250		8	5-6	f	4 3 3	· · · ·
	23-31 Oct	TOTAL	100-350		8	5-6	13.5	210	1.94
		N.ISABEL			8		4	100	3.13
		W.MALAITA	an sea ea	4	8	·. •	3.5		2.86
	•	E.FLORIDA			8		3	. 30	1.25
	· · · ·		ана стала артика					· •	· · ·
	2-8 Nov	TOTAL	100-350		8	5-6	16	1590	12.42
	sa i e e	W.GUADALC	ANAL		8		?	20	
	1	S.VANGUNU			8		8	1350	21.09
•		SW.RUSSEL	LS	1. T	8	and a second	. 3	150	6.25
		S.RENDOVA	/TETEPARI		.8	1	Z	30	- 1.88
		5.VONAVON	A	•. · · ·	8 ·	$(\mu = R^{+}) = 0$	د	40	1.57
	9-14 Nov	TOTAL	100-350		8	5-6	10	1625	20.31
		6170			8		?	275	
	an a	S.SIMBO			8	* ×	3	300	12.50
		W.RANNONG	A		8	and the second	?	50	
		W.VELLA			8		7	1000	17.86
\$* + -	15-22 Nov	TOTAL	280-350		8	5-6	10	500	6.25
		MONO			. 8	~	?	30	
	N.,	S. SHORTLA	NDS		8		6	170	3.54
		W.VELLA			8		4	2010	3.38
	23-29 No.		700-350		8.	5-6	19	הדמל	13 67
	23-28 NOV	DANK 1 071	200-330		Q .	3-0	5	630	15.75
					6			. 740	E 07
		RANK 7 070	4551544/5						p.w/

 $t_{B_{1}} = \lambda$ 

a Constantina de Cons Constantina de Constan

a second se

..

 $= \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & &$ 

.

.

.

.

#### Japanese name Scientific name English name LUT JANI DAE small-tooth jobfish Aphareus rutilans oguchi-isi-chibiki Aprion virescens green jobfish E. carbunculus short-tailed red hachijo-akamutsu snapper E. coruscans onagadai long-tailed red snapper long-jaw red snapper E.radiosus Lutjanus bohar red bass L.gibbus paddletail seaperch blue-lined seaperch L.kašmira L.sanguineus Paracaesio caeruleus aodai P.kusakarii Kusakar's fusilier shima-aodai Stone's fusilier P.stonei P.xanthurus Pinjalo sp. Pristipomoides auricilla gold-tailed jobfish P.filamentosus rosy jobfish hime-edai P.multidens P.flavipinnis yellow jobfish kimefu-edai P.sieboldi jobfish Lipocheilus carnolabrum Tropidinius argyrogrammicus large-eyed flower snapper banded flower snapper shima-chibiki T.zonatus **SERRANIDAE** Cephalopholis aurantius orange rock-cod C.igarasiensis C.sonnerati tomato rock-cod Epinephelus chlorostigma brown-spotted grouper hoseki hata E.hoedti E.morrhua brown-striped grouper hata E.septemfasciatus giant grouper Saloptia powelli lunartail rock-cod Variola louti LETHRINIDAE Lethrinus kallopterus kitunefu-efuki L.miniatus long-nosed emperor L.rubrioperculatus **PENTAPODIDAE** Gnathodentex mossambicus large-eyed bream kokenokogiri Gymnocranius griseus

#### Table 5. Common Fish Species Caught During the Survey

G. japonicus G.robinsoni

SPC/Inshore Fish. Res./BP 7.3 Page 16

#### Table 5. (continued)

CARANGIDAE

Caranx ferdau C.ignobilis C.lugubris

 A set of the set of lowly trevally black trevally Elegatis bipinnulatus Seriola rivoliana Elegatis deepwater amberioo deepwater amberjack

SCOMBRIDAE

Euthynnus affinis

island bonito, mackerel tuna dogtooth tuna

a care en el

Gymnosarda unicolor dogtooth tu Katsuwonus pelamis skipjack

OTHER SPECIES

Ariomma evermanni Erythrocles schlegeli red fish

## (protractile mouth)

in a bha fa ann an Antaire an Anna Anna. An an Anna Anna Anna Anna

and the second second second second second second

1991年19月1日(1993年1月) 1993年1月日(1993年1月) and the second second

hirenaga-kampachi

iso-maguru katsuo

akasaba

and the second second

f

## TABLE 6. Species Composition and Abundance

.

Ì

Species	home	isport		a Islani		ierth .	h	nghai		<b>.</b>	1	n Nia	E	erds	The		_51	ar i	San	ita lina	Othe	r fress	lei	الع		
		1966. 1967 (h.s.)		104 (b.a.)		غناغتي من خت	, <b>"</b>	Shael Mirkal				<b>M</b> (h-1)		inde Marken	51	1973 1947aa			-	Mi (hat)	•	Bi (h-)	<b>.</b>	MA-1	Lange	aitin #
													-	. nun		, nearly	-			, wear		accent.	■.	<b>U</b> (14)	•.	•
Pristipungides flavipionis	. (		77	128.3	171	468.3	422	120.3	5	111.1		552	77	71.3	291	26.9		15.1	- 44	91		IJ	3411	7987.8	19.23	11.5
P. filmentous	(	i 18.5	3	<b>#</b> .5	72	1#53.5	1041	1700.5	121	171.3	239	767.1	18	21.1	755	15% J	- 16	100.0	55	<b>\$</b> .1	1	0.7	រាជ	5192.1	17.66	11.10
. Sphareus rutilaus	. 1	2.4	55	1665.0	771	2553.9	16	417.5	- 4	145.7	26	630.4	- 19	<b>2H</b> .1	202	<b>958.3</b>	184	<b>60</b> .6	- 15	175.4		E.	<b>Zin</b> 1	767.4	11.34	15.87
Paracaesia kusakarii		i 11.6	57	575.7	621	1419.3	ZK	<b>63</b> .9	142	457.3	2	8.6	72	150.1	51	237.4	15	57.9	5	14. <b>J</b>	I	11	1574	4218.6	1.17	8.51
Etelis conscens		) <b>LI</b>	37	1688.0	165	<b>85</b> 7.5	333	515.3	5%	226.3	6	21.1	6	1.6	- 11	171.2	15	<b>H3</b>	•	21	1	1.5	1560	5517.5	1.11	11.55
Etelis radiome	(	) <b>I.</b>	6	35.í	123	544.5	21	<b>8</b> 2.5	564	2395.7	x	279 J	15	51.6	18	61.4	5	162.7	4	16.9	2	16.5	1222	4781-2	6.89	9.5
EastIndentex nossanhicus		i 15.4	122	122.4	23	<b>290</b> .7	38	<b>35.</b> 3	5	118.6	54	<b>8</b> .7	57	82	122	<b>218.8</b>	37	53.4	2	2.4	5	4.7	1131	154.1	6.3	3.15
Etelis carbonculus	(	) <b>     </b>	63	243 J	19	176.9		<b>118.</b> 5	275	162.7	9	<b>5</b> .1	1	11	14	76.7	20	43.4	3	4.7	1	6.4	525	249.8	Z:57	5.35
Peracaesio stanei			5	188.2	64	166.2	173	279.1	67	<b>N.</b> I		IJ	22	<b>X</b> .J	2	47.3	7	11.2	5	IJ		IJ	62	ស្នុះ	2.5	1.⊈
iymesarde strictler		6.1	5	46.3	27	214 J	42	221.1	្រដ	416.5	33	18.5	57	174.0	5	252.1	1	13	, <b>11</b>	60.5	2	10.1	27	1999.2	1.04	1.M
Elegatis hipineulatus	Z	. <b>A</b> .9	1	.11	3	142.3	- 97	274.7	ă	56.1	1	32	10	11.1		1.1			18	32	1	2.2	252	ខារ	1.42	1.2
Seriola riveliana	2	6.7		₩3	10	120.1	2	201	<u>)</u>	<b>K</b> .J	67	3%2	4	17.7	21	76.7		36.1	4	16.2			255	1201.3	1.2	Z.41
Epinephelus norrhuo			17	<b>37.</b> 1	ି <b>ଅ</b>	71.1	3	57.3	15	_ <b>₽</b> \$	3	73.1	7	10.2	7	34.6	6	18.9	2	3.6			2	5512	1.17	1.7
Caranx Jugabris	Δ.	91.7	1	<b>Δ</b> .5	a	181	<u> </u>	21	- 18	172.5			33		8	· 15.4			5	- 12.9	2	7.1	261	615.3	1.13	1.21
ipineskeius chierostigna			1	58.5	5	2.	2	78.6	3	7.8	21	. 18.1	1	- 2.5	11	- 16.Z	7	13.1			ł		160	<b>Z</b> 1 2	1.3	1.5
Lethering ainistag			2	20.1	Ā	<b>B</b> .2	<b>Q</b>	133.9	л,	. 31.9	21	74.5	7	21.5	. 12 :	177.5		62	1	11.2		0.3	155	507.5	1.57	1.1
Paracaesto Coertilens		0.0	2	11.1		57.0	16	15.0	- <b>6</b> 24 -	ុះស្	3	11	5	1.5	7	16.1		1.	1	1.1			1%	119.0	1.12	1.25
Inepidinius zenatus		P.1	57	29.7	17	15.	· 7	10.1	'1Z				1	5.1	19	15.0	2	1.1		3.1	ļ		123	75.2	0.67	1.17
Linganis annir Tarathar ann ann ann ann ann ann		198.2			1	23.1	15	65.5	15	37.5	1	21	1	Ζ.	1	D.(	U	8.8			2	11.1	112	378.5	1.45	0.70
				10.1	17	1.1	15	1.3	<u>୍</u> କ	- 1.4° 		. 1.5	1		4	- 1a - 5 4			3				<u>.a</u>	D.(	1.10	
Interiment and a second state	. 7	16.1	11	1.751		. 16.0	- 17.	17 A	.11	10.7	÷ •		1.	5.3	12	15.1								1	0.37	0.37
from virecent	• <u>4</u>	186.0			,	15.0	10	1(.)) (4 E	- 4	( ) ( )		4.0	+	1.79	12	13.1 24 B			•	11.1 9 c	í		- 65	- (3.3 - 953 A	7.3	
futirariar shimali					4	13.0	13	1 A A	127	9.7 100 C	-	T.7		13.1		51.8 5.0	-		4	1.3			- K	150 5	1 27	0.21
- Sajambalus santaafaanistu					ŝ	147 4		724-2	-37	1.00	-	2,2			1	47 4							5	1918.1	1.2	2.4
Pristrenerides sinholdi			7	19.6	1	12.17	17	7 2	*	10.0		11			1	12.1			1	1.2	1		*	41 4	1.75	2.11
linchriles carnolabram		A A	-	18.6	1		14.	7417	с. С	12.5	- ,;	2.7	,		÷				÷	24			70	82.9	116	.0.00
P mericilla	i	7 1	1		1	14	,	40.1 1 7	1	14		3.2	í		;	1 0				1,1	-		19	11 1	. 11	
Salantia newelli	i		ŝ	17	;	11	1		.,	1.			i		1	75			;	15			16	. 11 #		8 82
Warala Jauti	5	2.5	i		i		i		i				i		4	1.6	i		1	/ <b>1</b> .0				4.5	1.6	1.57
Let unus argentiaaculatus	i		1	3.9			5	77 1	-	. 1	1	47	ï		i	7.7	1	1	i					39.1	1.6	6.M
Lethrings kallepterns	1	1.5	i		1		i			`			1	23	ż	4.6	-	i	1	14	1	17	.,	18.5	1.8	8.97
fuence anius griseus	i	- LI	i	, ii	,	2.	ī		1	11			i		,	1.1	ī		i		i e		ŝ	4.5	1.15	1.8
Senols m.	i	11	i	Ĩ.	ï		5	2.5	i	11		<u>і</u> П.	i	ü	i	1.	i		i	ü	i	11	5	2.5	1.63	8.81
Sumoranius rebiaseni	Í	i.	Ì	11	2	33	i	ü	1	2.9	i		i	1.	i	11	i	i.	ĩ	14	i	11	4	71	112	1.02
5. japonicus	i	i.	i	11	ī	L.		11	2	23	i	i.	Ť	11	2	11	i	L.	i.		i	ü	- ÷.	3.1	112	1.1
Lethrinns mp.	Ī	1.1	1	i.	1	1.5	i	ů.	ī	i.	i	i.	i	1.1	ī	11	i.	11	i	ü	i	ii.	1	1.4	1.0	
•							-				•	,	-						•		-					
Various suppor app.	10	18.4	12	16.0	16	53	12	37.5	1	4.0	1	13	5	11	3	1.8		1.1	1	IJ	7	1.	62	165.3	1.35	0.ZI
Epimephelas spp.	2	2.7	12	<b>33.5</b>	16	13.7	6	7.5	7	18.9	1	13	1	1.9	I	6.4	1	7.3	1	1.7	1	1.6	62	- 93.5	1.55	0.15
Verieus Scoebrid spp.	2	:4.1	2	11.9	Z	10 J	1 :	3.4	3	6.1	49	16.1	1	(1.3 *	1	- 4.5	I	11		1.1	2	2.7	54	198.3	1.30	1.3
Caranz spp.	. 6	13.5		1.1		8.8	I	Z1 J	1	2.0	4	13.8		IJ	5	9.3	1	8.8	1	1.3		1.1	Δ.	61.0	8.14	0.12
Cephalaphalis spp.	13	15.8	2	1.	2	1.5	1	12		IJ	I	. 1.1		1.1	3	1.9	1	IJ	I	1.1	3	1.	24	23.6	8.14	8.8
Pitter spp.	1	2.8	2	1.6	3	62	3	5.3	25	112.4	I	1.1		1.1	0	11		8.8	1	3.6	1	1.5	10	139.7	1.23	1.2
inta]	798	9411		रेटर द र		082 8 V	478 S	997 B 91	n s	15717	787) 1	<b>67</b> 774	<b>45</b> 1	<b>I</b> 51 7 19	175.4	44714	<b>11</b> 2 11	KI 5 -	787	54. 7		124 5 1	7748 6	<b>6497</b> 7	100	1.00
																1.66.1					37	1.00.02.1		19121 4		
Facily He.	H(iig)	1																							• *	
		<b>b</b> .	H																							
List janu dae 14572	37982.0	12.1 U	1.1																							
Pentapodi dae 1144	1598.2	6.5	5.2																							
Carango dae 718	2538.5	4.1	5.1																							
Serranadae 531	1951.5	3.0	1.																							
Scondridge 381	2149.5	11	4.4																							
Lettirskide 227	661.3	1.3	1.4																							
wher faillies 167	57H.3	8.9	1.2																							

.

## TABLE 7 Fish species depth distribution by numbers

- <del>4</del>0 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 1 . - 12 64 . . .

Bepth (a) - ald-range 🗱	5 ,9	5 11	15 1	15	125	135	145	155	165	175	185	155	265	<b>Z1</b> 5	25	255	215	25	265	275	25	255	<b>3</b> 5	315	Ø	332	345	355	365	375	TOTAL.
Species		•					· .	) 9	· •		  	t.		4 24			• •	-													
iphareus rutilans			: 1		30	51 - <b>51</b>	238	335	198	183	ZID	68	56	.21	17	16	1	1										-	1		1132
Aprian virescens 2	2		2	1	Z	5	1	1	3	3	5						3														39
Etelis radiosus	i.		1,			4	3	. 4	11	17	- 56	1	62	25	19	20	15	11	181	45	50	16	19		-	₽	39	-18		11	711
Etelis conscans								2	,	7	13	,	36	38	66	39	58	Z	165	38	- 18	6	27	6	57	15	36	20	T.	3	789
Etelis carbunculus					÷			1		1	- 3	2	-11	: 1	17	14	2	3	67	33	23	ł	6	2	21	X	33	19	1	.1	328
Letjanus Johan 1	1	١.	1	1	5	18	: 5	6		6	3	5	÷																		퍯
Paracaesie caeruleus						3	× 18	ី 33	35	12	16	3	1		2	1										•.					124
P. kusakarii					13	72	183	260	119	181	- 98	76	3	21	56	34	21	5	11	3							1 x				1858
P. stonei					1	13	- 1	5	18	18	23	19	-18	-10	23	29	12	6	13	4	1		2				4. 4.				290
Pristiponoides auricilla					÷		1			3			E.	•	.1	1															5
P. filmentasus	3	2	6 1	28 1	5	232	·\$72	531	333	329	- 900	5	79	17	52	5	12	7													2518
P. Novipianis		j	6 1	18	22	134	213	338	257	550	1129	1	37	22	71	5	5	2													2915
f. sieboldi			;			Z	1.1			1	1		• •	2	4						;										11
Lipocheilus caraolabran			,	2	1	1			,		: 2	2	1	2	4	2		1						2							11
Trapidinius argyrogramicus									Ę	1		1	4	1	.7	5	5		1									<u>.</u>			25
1. zonatus					1	1		5	5	ŝ	5	5	4	÷9	1	6	5	1		2						. *					60
Lethrinus kallupterus					2			•		- - -																-		a 1			2
L. ainietus	-		1	6	6	21	17	: 30	19	- 11	័ភ	z	2	1	4					.,				2				*#** . *	• • •		144
L. rubrisperculatus 1	3			1	6	3	: 5	2	1	:		t							i.												22
Enatodentex accombicus					16	79	- 9	172	166	162	160	50	43	17	2	7	5	1	5							• •			,		965
Epinephelus chlorestigne		ł		t	Ŷ	10	20	Z	- 34	14	28	4	1	1	4		2		1								• * *	• •			144
E. northue						9	6	1	27	23	15	7	13	7	5	Z	1	1	.6	1			1					*			172
E. septenfasciatus						1.		: 1		,	1	1	4	4	3	2	4	3	15	2											41
Saloptia povelli					1			•				1	4	1	1	-	2		-	_											18
Veriala leuti				13	1	2	1						-	•	÷ ,	- 2	-												i.		3
Coranx lugubris		1		2	7	20	21	33	17	6	15	1	5	1	4		4	1													141
Seriala rivoliana				۰.		7	11	9	18	12	-39	7	i	2	18				4	5				•				2 2			171
funnosarda micalar		5	; ·	1	1	2	20	'n	20	15	5	e j	5	3	1	1		4	Z	1			2								212
Ervibracles schlegeli		•	•	-	-				: <b>-</b> -	۰ <b>-</b> ۲		-		-	-			-	9	2			2		7	3	2	2			77
Brionne evernanni				ì		1	2	3 1	4	2	1		:		5		1		-	1			-	\$ <sup>7</sup>	1	•	-	÷		İ	20

•**4**)

ş.,

Bepth range fished: 85-121n

\$

Fig 1

(B) CATCH COMPOSITION BY AREA

X BY "JMBERS OF FISH CAUGHT





# (b) BOTTOM SURVEY CATCH COMPOSITION

BY WEIGHTS OF FISH CAUGHT



SPC/Inshore Fish. Res./BP 7 3 Page 21

#### Fig 3 Depth range of deep bottom fish

Depth (m) 80 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190 200 210 220 230 240 250 260 270 280 290 300 310 320 330 340 350 360 370 380

<b>A</b>		1 1				<u> </u>	1 1						1 1		1 1	1		· · ·	1	1	
species	I I	1 1	J - J		I,I	ł	1 1			1 1	1	J ·		ļ	1 1	1	I.	1 1	!	1	1
Aphareus rutilans			*****							-1		200									
Aprion virescens							1			•											
Etelis carbunculus	•						•••••										******				L
Etelis coruscans																					İ
Etelis radiosus																					i
Lutjanus bohar	}						}	•													
Paracaesio caeruleus	.•		1				••••••										i				
P.kusakarii			- <u> </u>														4.7				
P.storei				*****		**															
Pristiponoides aurici	lla					]				j					•						
P. filmentosus	{						******					1					; ,				
P.flavipinnis	· · ·	ŀ						. <b></b> .				·-İ									
P. sieboldi		•	11									•	÷.								
Lipocheilus carnolabr	1		· .																		
Tropidinius argyrogra	micus												·I								
Tropidinius zonatus			J				*****						·								
Lethrings simistus			••••••							1											
L.rubrioperculatus							******			•											
Gnathodentex mossambi	cus	1.1	<b>}</b> -										·I								
Epinephelus chlorosti	gas	ŀ											-		·						
E.sorrhua	-	-	1																		
E.septemfasciatus						*****		******													
Saloptia pomelli			J			******				******											
Caranx Tugubris						******	******				*****										
Seriola rivoliana		•																			
Gymosarda unicollor								•••••													
Erythrocles schlegeli		•																			
Arioma eversanni			1			*****															

Depth range fished: 85-424 metres

SPC/Inshore Fish. Res./BP 7'3 Page 22

Fig 4. Depth Distribution







٦

SPC/Inshore Fish. Res./BP 7 3 Page 24

