

# Risk factors for cholera in Pohnpei during an outbreak in 2000: lessons for Pacific Countries and Territories

Martyn D Kirk\*

Tom Kiedrzyński\*\*

Eliaser Johnson\*\*\*

Amato Elymore\*\*\*\*

Iris Wainiqolo\*\*\*\*\*

\* BAppSci MAppEpid, Coordinating Epidemiologist, OzFoodNet, Department of Health and Ageing, GPO Box 9848, MDP 15, Canberra, AUSTRALIA. Tel: +61 2 6289 9010, Fax: +61 2 6289 7104, Mob: 0401 993 506, Email: martyn.kirk@health.gov.au . \*\*Secretariat for the Pacific Community, Noumea, New Caledonia, \*\*\*Pohnpei State Health Department, Kolonia, Pohnpei. \*\*\*\*Federated States of Micronesia Department of Health, Palikir, Pohnpei. \*\*\*\*\*Fiji School of Medicine, Suva, Fiji.

## Abstract

In April 2000, a large outbreak of cholera due to *Vibrio cholerae* serotype Ogawa biotype El Tor affected the Island of Pohnpei in the Federated States of Micronesia. A Pacific Public Health Surveillance Network team conducted a case control study in the middle of the epidemic. The aims of the study were to identify individual and household level risk factors for cholera, and to evaluate public health interventions aimed at controlling the outbreak. A case was a person admitted to the Pohnpei hospital with acute watery diarrhoea in the months of June and July 2000. We used a pre-tested questionnaire to interview cases about exposures in the five days prior to illness and visited their house to collect water samples, observe hygiene, and assess uptake of health education. 100 mL water samples were filtered and cultured for *V. cholerae*. We randomly selected neighbouring houses to identify a control that was similar age and sex for each case. Identical observations were made for eligible controls where their household members had not had diarrhoea since the beginning of the epidemic. We stored and analysed data using an Epi Info version 6.04. 53 case control pairs were enrolled into the study. The study identified that storing food outside uncovered, and having a pit latrine as the main toilet were risk factors for cholera infection. There were also several factors that protected against cholera infection, including washing hands after using the toilet and before eating, having a container to store safe water, the presence of soap in kitchen and bathroom, the presence of chlorine bleach and two or more hand washing buckets, a working refrigerator/ice box, and toilet inside or near the house and having a flush toilet. In multivariate analysis, having a working refrigerator/ice box (OR 0.19, 95%CI 0.05–0.70) and Clorox present in the house (OR 0.17, 95%CI 0.04–0.81) were strongly protective against illness. Only 13% (14/106) of case households reported disinfecting household water with chlorine. *V. cholerae* was isolated from the household water supplies of two controls and one case. During outbreaks of diarrhoeal disease, public health agencies need to aggressively advise affected communities to: disinfect drinking water with clorox bleach, store water in narrow-necked containers, and prepare and store food safely. Health authorities should use multiple strategies inform people about preventive hygiene measures, and implement vaccine campaigns early in outbreaks of cholera. Improvements in sanitation and hygiene are needed to prevent further cholera epidemics in the Pacific. (PHD, 2005 Vol 12 No 2 Pages 17 -22)

## Introduction

Cholera is an acute watery diarrhoea caused by intestinal infection with *Vibrio cholerae*.<sup>1</sup> The infectious dose for cholera is quite high, although many people show little or no symptoms. Fatalities from cholera due to severe dehydration predominantly occur where access to treatment is limited or unavailable.<sup>2</sup> Transmission of *V. cholerae* is faecal-oral, with water, food and infected persons playing an important role in the spread of disease during outbreaks.<sup>1,3</sup> Contaminated seafood and plankton can act as reservoirs for *V. cholerae*.<sup>3,4</sup> Cholera can result in massive epidemics, particularly in environments where sanitation is poor.<sup>1</sup>

Despite global efforts to improve hygiene, epidemic cholera remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality throughout the world.<sup>1</sup> The current, or seventh pandemic due to *Vibrio cholerae* serotype O1 biotype El Tor originated in Indonesia in 1905. Since then *V. cholerae* El Tor has spread to the whole world, including Pacific Island Countries and Territories (PICTs).<sup>5</sup> PICTs have reported periodic outbreaks and sporadic cases of El Tor cholera since the early 1970's.<sup>6–10</sup> The majority of these outbreaks have been associated with consumption of raw seafood, contaminated water supplies, and inadequate disposal of human waste.

## Recognition of the outbreak

On 17 April 2000, patients began presenting to the Pohnpei State Hospital with symptoms of acute watery diarrhoea, vomiting and dehydration. The Pohnpei State hospital laboratory isolated *Vibrio cholerae* from faecal swabs, which was subsequently confirmed as serotype Ogawa at the Guam Public Health Laboratory, and biotype El Tor at the Noumea Pasteur Institute. The

number of people presenting to Pohnpei health services increased rapidly, particularly people resident in rural areas.

In response to the outbreak, the State of Pohnpei declared a state of emergency and established a 'Cholera Task Force' and a 'Hospital Task Force'. The Federated States of Micronesia (FSM) requested assistance from the Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC) and the World Health Organization (WHO). The SPC and WHO under the Pacific Public Health Surveillance Network (PPHSN) formed a field response team to identify risk factors for infection and review control measures. Following this investigation, the Government implemented a vaccination campaign with an oral live attenuated vaccine that achieved 47% coverage of the 32,178 people living on the island of Pohnpei.<sup>5</sup>

In total, the Pohnpei outbreak resulted in 3,452 cases of cholera and 20 deaths giving a case fatality rate of 0.6%.<sup>11</sup> In this report, we present findings from a case control study conducted during the epidemic to identify factors conferring higher and lower risks for infection prior to the vaccination campaign.

## Methods

### Case definition

In outbreak settings, the World Health Organization defines a case of suspected cholera as a person who is five years old or greater with acute watery diarrhoea.<sup>12</sup> For the case control study, we defined a case as someone meeting this definition that was admitted to Pohnpei hospital with acute watery diarrhoea in the months of June or July 2000.

### Control selection

To select a control, interviewers visited randomly-selected neighbouring houses to a case residence, until a suitable control was found. A control was someone who was resident in a house where all household members were free of diarrhoeal illness since the beginning of the outbreak, and who had not had diarrhoea. Controls were required to be the same sex and in the same 5 year age group as the matching case.

### Exposure assessment

A health professional and an interpreter interviewed cases and controls using a pre-tested questionnaire about exposures in the five days prior to illness or interview. The investigators then visited the house of the study subject, where they interviewed the head of the household, collected water samples, and made observations about hygiene and uptake of health education.

### Environmental testing

During house visits, investigators collected samples of the main drinking water source for each household. Two 500 mL water sample were collected in a sterile bottle for testing for *V. cholerae*. The EPA filtered these water samples and the Pohnpei hospital laboratory cultured them using classical isolation techniques for *V. cholera*.

### Data management and analysis

Data were entered into an Epi Info 6.04 database (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, USA). Epi Info 6.04 was used to calculate descriptive and exact maximum likelihood estimates of odds ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) for matched case control pairs. To explore the relationship between risk factors identified in the univariate analysis and illness we conducted conditional logistic regression using a backward elimination approach with the variables with a statistically significant association with the outcome variable (level of statistical significance  $p < 0.1$ ).

## Results

We enrolled 53 case control pairs into the study, of which 43% were female. The median age of cases was 31 years old (range: 5–79 years old) compared to a median age of 33 years old (range: 6–75 years old) for the controls. The median sizes of

### The Pohnpei outbreak resulted in 3,452 cases of cholera and 20 deaths giving a case fatality rate of 0.6%

cases' and controls' households were 9 (range: 2–26) and 8 (range: 3–15) persons respectively, which was similar to the household size found during the previous census (8 persons per household). Case and control households were recruited from all municipalities of Pohnpei, with 25% of houses being from Sokehs, 23% from Nett, 20% from Uh, 19% from Kitty, 8% from Kolonia and 7% from Madolenihmw.

Amongst cases, 70% (37/53) reported that one or more people in their household had experienced watery diarrhoea since the beginning of the outbreak. The median time interval between the date symptoms began and the date the patient was admitted to hospital was 1 day (range: 0–5 day).

### Exposure assessment

During the outbreak, both case and control households commonly engaged in practices potentially at high risk for cholera transmission (Table 1).

13% (14/106) of households reported chlorinating their drinking water and only 10 of these were doing it according to guidelines. In 84% (89/106) of the households the water was piped from a water source, and only 17% (18/106) was from the town water supply.<sup>(a)</sup>

(a) The only water supply with water storage and treatment.

In 37% (33/89) of the households the pipe was on the ground and 33% (11/33) of these were leaking. Of the 13% (14/106) houses using water from a shallow well, 20% (3/14) were susceptible to drain surface water.

18% (18/102) of the households most commonly used toilet was inside the house, while 25% (25/102) were 0–25 feet from the house, and in 56% were more than 25 feet from the house. In 35% (35/102) of the households the toilet was less than 25 feet from the water supply.

In 60% (64/106) of households someone regularly prepared sakau, a local kava drink prepared with roots of *Piper methysticum* and water. Of these households, 84% (54/64) indicated that they had made preparation of sakau safer using boiled water and cleaning preparation surfaces.

Overall 86% (91/106) of households reported that they received health advice from one or more sources, including school teachers, public health teams, Red Cross workers, the media and the hospital. No households reported receiving advice from churches or community chiefs. 53% (56/108) of households

received a brochure with instructions for chlorinating drinking water. In 84% (76/91) of households, the health advice was understood. In 16% where advice was not understood, the information was in relation to the disease and the mode of transmission, and using bleach (“clorox”) for water disinfection.

#### Risk factors for infection

The case control study identified several factors that were positively and negatively associated with infection (Table 2). The majority of these risk factors were indicative of poor hygiene and may also relate to socio-economic status.

In multivariate analysis, the presence of a working fridge/ice box and the presence of bleach were found to best fit a model explaining the association between household hygiene and the illness (Table 3).

#### Environmental testing

3% (3/104) of water samples were positive for *V. cholera*. Two positive samples were collected from the water at control households, while one sample from a case household was positive.

**Table 1: Prevalence of individual and household level exposures of 53 cases and 53 controls during an outbreak of cholera on Pohnpei, 2000**

Exposure	Proportion of cases and controls with exposure (%)
<b>Individual Level</b>	
Eat raw reef fish	20
Eat raw deep water fish	28
Drink sakau	38
Attend funeral	21
Attend gathering	13
Do not always wash hands after toilet	42
Go to toilet in the bush	24
<b>Household Level</b>	
Container to store water safely	77
Drinking water in narrow-neck container	70
Soap present in kitchen	67
Soap present in bathroom	60
Clorox bleach present in house	51
≥2 handwashing buckets	16
A bucket with water and Clorox	21
Working refrigerator/ice box	58
Prepared food in the house	59
Prepared food covered or in refrigerator/ice box	70
Piped water	84
Pipe on the ground	37
Spring water primary drinking water source	31
Town supply primary drinking water source	17
Rainwater tank primary drinking water source	19
Shallow well primary drinking water source	13
Pit latrine	55
Waterseal toilet	19
Flush toilet	27



During the epidemic, the Red Cross promoted and distributed narrow-neck containers for water storage, which were shown to be protective against illness. These containers have shown their efficacy for safe storage of household water during cholera epidemics in Africa and other countries.<sup>13,14</sup> During this outbreak, we identified that household water supplies were contaminated with *V. cholerae*, making protective measures very important. In our study, approximately 24% of people reported going to the toilet in the bush, which may have contributed to widespread contamination of the environment. To prevent outbreaks of diarrhoeal disease, people should be encouraged not to go to the toilet in the bush.

There was very low uptake of public health messages to chlorinate drinking water in Pohnpei households. This has also been noted in other cholera outbreaks, along with an outbreak in neighbouring Marshall Islands some months later.<sup>16,17</sup> It was interesting to see that no health advice reportedly came from the traditional chiefs and the church, both being good potential channels to get messages through to the communities.

Sakau preparation and drinking is very common in Pohnpei. Most of the sakau bars were closed when this study was carried out, although someone prepared sakau in 60% of all household, and 38% of the cases and controls drank sakau in the 5 days prior to interview or illness. *V. cholera* contamination is possible during many steps of sakau preparation starting with the water used to make the beverage. It is reassuring to see that more than 80% of the people preparing sakau reported they received instructions and changed the way they prepared sakau in order to make it safer. Nevertheless this effort needs to be maintained, given the popularity of sakau consumption and its potential to transmit other waterborne diseases.

The study identified that households with a pit latrine as the main toilet were at higher risk of infection, particularly those that were poorly maintained. Households with flush toilets as their main toilets were at lower risk, which may indicate a better socio-economic status, and thus better hygiene. Having the most commonly used toilet inside the house was also a protecting factor because all these toilets were flush-based toilets and probably cleaner. Indirectly, this is also indicative of a better socio-economic status, and thus a better hygiene.

It is important to recognise that the risk factors identified in this study relate to causes of illness in the middle of the cholera epidemic in Pohnpei. The study was started after health agencies had instituted public

health interventions implemented to address some of the suspected risk factors therefore likely affecting the mode of spread. The study therefore allowed us to evaluate the implementation of these interventions. But this also made the identification of risk or protective factors more difficult, and there was probably a shift towards risk factors that were more difficult to control. Epidemiological studies during epidemics may not provide information about the means of introduction of *V. cholerae*, but can provide useful information for health education and longer-term prevention measures.<sup>18,19</sup>

Another problem with the study, common to many case control studies of cholera, was the difficulty of finding true controls. Outbreaks of El Tor cholera may result in several asymptomatic infections for each symptomatic case, which is more than with the classical biotype.<sup>1</sup> The consequence of this is that the selection of the controls on the basis of clinical signs may have lacked specificity.

The study overcame this problem by selecting control households where no one had been ill with diarrhoeal illness since the beginning of the epidemic in April 2000. In Pohnpei, the average size of the households was more than 8 people, making it less likely that controls were asymptotically infected where

the whole household was free of diarrhoea. In cholera epidemics, investigators have found that there is little difference in identified risk factors when comparing results of studies using clinically defined cases and controls using symptom presence or absence and those defined using serological definitions.<sup>16,20</sup>

In this study, the PPHSN team used a case definition that did not require laboratory confirmation of a diagnosis of cholera. The case definition was consistent with the WHO definition of suspected cholera and required that cases were admitted to the hospital. The fact that patients were admitted to the hospital made it much more likely that they were true cholera infections and not another diarrhoeal disease. In the midst of a cholera epidemic, the proportion of people admitted to hospital due to a diarrhoeal disease other than cholera is quite small. During the epidemic, microbiological confirmation of rectal swabs of patients with suspected cholera resulted in very high rates of confirmation (>90%) even late in the outbreak.<sup>5</sup> The decrease of specificity in the "case" status for this study was expected to be very low.

## Conclusion

Poor hygiene and sanitation were the major contributing factors to the size of this large outbreak where approximately 10% of Pohnpei residents attended a medical facility for treatment.<sup>5</sup> Educating Island

**It is reassuring to see that more than 80% of the people preparing sakau reported they received instructions and changed the way they prepared sakau**

populations about sanitation and hygiene remain a key, long-term public health measure to prevent future outbreaks. During outbreaks of diarrhoeal disease, public health agencies need to aggressively advise affected communities to: disinfect drinking water with clorox bleach, store water in narrow-necked containers, and prepare and store food safely. Health authorities should use multiple strategies inform people about preventive hygiene measures, and implement vaccine campaigns early in outbreaks of cholera.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Federated States of Micronesia Department of Health and the Pohnpei Health Services for their assistance with the investigation. We would like to thank Pertina Saimon, Terri Malone and Justin Washington for conducting field work for the case-control study.

## References

- Sack DA, Sack RB, Nair GB, Siddique AK. Cholera. *Lancet*, 2004; 363:223–233.
- Guerrant RL, Caneiro-Filho A, Dillingham A. Cholera, Diarrhea, and Oral Rehydration Therapy: Triumph and Indictment. *Clin Infect Dis* 2003; 37:398–404.
- Morris JG. Cholera and Other Types of Vibriosis: A Story of Human Pandemics and Oysters on the Half Shell. *Clin Infect Dis* 2003; 37:272–280.
- Codeco CT. Endemic and epidemic dynamics of cholera: the role of the aquatic reservoir. *BMC Infect Dis* 2001; 1. [Serial available on the internet] Available at: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2334/1/1> Accessed on 25 July 2005.
- Calain P, Chaine JP, et al. Can oral cholera vaccination play a role in controlling a cholera outbreak. *Vaccine* 2004; 22:2444–51.
- Haddock RL. Cholera in a Pacific Island. *J. Diarrhoeal Dis Res*, 1987;5(3) :181-3.
- Kuberski T, Flood T, Tera T, et al. Cholera in the Gilbert Islands. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg* 1979 ; 28(4):677-84.
- Kuberski T. Cholera on Nauru. Possible non-point source transmission. *Med J Aust* 1980; 15;2(10):563-4
- Swerdlow DL, Blake PA, Cohen ML. Outbreak of Cholera, Chuuk State (Truk), Federated States of Micronesia. CDC Report Sep 1990; EPI 90-62-2.
- Holmberg SD, Harris JR, Kay DE, et al. Foodborne transmission of cholera in Micronesian households *Lancet* 1984;1(8372):325-8.
- World Health Organization. Cholera, 2000. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec* 2001; 76:233–240.
- World Health Organization. Guidelines for cholera control. Geneva, Switzerland, 1993.
- Mintz E, Bartram J, Lochery P, et al. Not just a Drop in the Bucket: Expanding Access to Point-of-Use Water Treatment Systems. *Am J Public Health* 2001; 91:1565–1570.
- Dunston C, McAfee D, Kaiser R, et al. Collaboration, Cholera, and Cyclones: A Project to Improve Point-of-Use Water Quality in Madagascar. *Am J Public Health* 2001; 91:1574–1576.
- Kolvin JL, Diane R. Studies on the growth of *Vibrio cholerae* biotype eltor and biotype classical in foods *J. Hyg., Camb.* 1982;89:243-52.
- Beatty ME, Jack T, Sivapalasingham S, et al. An Outbreak of *Vibrio cholerae* O1 Infections on Ebey Island, Republic of the Marshall Islands, Associated with Use of an Adequately Chlorinated Water Source. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004; 38:1–9.
- Reller ME, Mong YJM, Hoekstra RM, Quick RE. Cholera Prevention With Traditional and Novel Water Treatment Methods: an Outbreak Investigation in Fort-Dauphin, Madagascar. *Am J Public Health* 2001; 91:1608–1610.
- Quick RE, Geber ML, Palacios AM, et al. Using a Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices Survey to Supplement Findings of an Outbreak Investigation: Cholera Prevention Measures during the 1991 Epidemic in Peru. *Int J Epidemiol* 1996; 25:872–878.
- Quick RE, Thompson BL, Zuniga A, et al. Epidemic cholera in rural El Salvador: risk factors in a region covered by a cholera prevention campaign. *Epidemiol Infect* 1995; 114:249–255.
- Weber JT, Mintz ED, Canizares R, et al. Epidemic cholera in Ecuador: multidrug-resistance and transmission by water and seafood. *Epidemiol Infect* 1994; 112:1–11.

**Poor hygiene and sanitation were the major contributing factors to the size of this large outbreak where approximately 10% of Pohnpei residents attended a medical facility for treatment**