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## BACKGROUND

- Update of analyses presented to recent SC and WCPFC Commission meetings
- WCPFC17 requested further candidate TRP levels to be evaluated (range is now $36 \%$ to $50 \% \mathrm{SB} / \mathrm{SB}_{\mathrm{F}=0}$ )
- TTMW1 in April requested F-based outputs be evaluated for TTMW2 (as proportions of 2012 and 2012-2015 levels, overall, region fish size (juv/ad))
- Not directed at SC17, but SSP felt SC should consider some of the outcomes for feedback to TTMW2
- Other analyses and discussions have been moved to the Appendix


## RE-CREATING THE MOW TABLE

- Baseline year = 2012 (with caveat - ID/PH @ 2016-18 avg)
- Ran stochastic projections 30 years into the future for each of the 54 models from the 2019 assessment
- Assumed long term recruitment patterns into the future
- Assume catchability remains constant into the future - i.e. no effort creep
- Combine results across each assessment model run and calculate the median depletion level ( $\mathrm{SB} / \mathrm{SB}_{\mathrm{F}=0}$ ) with SC15 weightings
- Adjust PS fishing relative to 2012 to achieve desired depletions


## RESULTS

Pacific
Communitu
Communauté du Pacifique

| Median depletion level $\left(\% \mathrm{SB}_{\mathrm{F}=0}\right)$ | Change in PS effort from 2012 levels | Change in spawning biomass (\%SB ${ }_{\mathrm{F}=0}$ ) from 2007-2009 levels | Change in spawning biomass (\%SB ${ }_{F=0}$ ) from 2012 levels | Change in spawning biomass (\%SB ${ }_{F=0}$ ) from 2012-2015 average | Change in spawning biomass (\%SB $F=0$ ) from 2015-2018 average | Median total equilibrium yield (\%MSY) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50\% | -25\% | -17\% | +18\% | +2\% | +13\% | 78\% |
| 48\% | -21\% | -19\% | +14\% | -1\% | +10\% | 81\% |
| 46\% | -15\% | -23\% | +9\% | -6\% | +5\% | 87\% |
| 44\% | -7\% | -27\% | +3\% | -10\% | 0\% | 95\% |
| 42\% | 0\% | -30\% | -2\% | -15\% | -5\% | 97\% |
| 40\% | +5\% | -32\% | -5\% | -18\% | -8\% | 98\% |
| 38\% | +20\% | -35\% | -10\% | -22\% | -13\% | 98\% |
| 36\% | +30\% | -39\% | -14\% | -25\% | -16\% | 98\% |

Table 2
No risk of falling below the LRP under any candidate level, under the current uncertainty framework

| Median depletion level (\%SB ${ }_{F=0}$ ) | Juvenile $\mathrm{F}_{\text {2048 }} / \mathrm{F}_{2012}$ | Juvenile $\mathrm{F}_{2048} / \mathrm{F}_{2012 \text {-2015 }}$ | Adult $\mathrm{F}_{2048} / \mathrm{F}_{2012}$ | Adult $\mathrm{F}_{2048} / \mathrm{F}_{2012 \text { - }}$ <br> 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50\% | 1.20 | 1.06 | 0.89 | 0.90 |
| 48\% | 1.24 | 1.10 | 0.92 | 0.93 |
| 46\% | 1.31 | 1.15 | 0.97 | 0.98 |
| 44\% | 1.39 | 1.22 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 42\% | 1.48 | 1.30 | 1.08 | 1.09 |
| 40\% | 1.53 | 1.35 | I.II | 1.13 |
| 38\% | 1.74 | 1.54 | 1.22 | 1.24 |
| 36\% | 1.92 | 1.69 | 1.29 | 1.31 |
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## ISSUES TO CONSIDER IN INTERPRETATION

- Future F is driven by:
- The combination of fisheries being projected
- How they are being projected (catch/effort)
- Their selectivity
- Key here:
- Increased F in R5 being influenced by ID/PH/VN domestic fisheries
- Projected on catch (not effort)
- That catch is 2016-18 avg (not 2012)
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